

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES


WASHINGTON, D.C.

82-5488

Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland

Appellant/Plaintiff

against




Internal Revenue Service et al

Appellee/Defendant

Richard Greene
Ms Chiccarelli
Roscoe L Egger Jr

No. _____



JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT

U.S.D.Ct.-81-2155-G
U.S.C.A.1.-82-1306

On Appeal from U.S.D.Ct.
U.S.C.A.1.

Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland
P.O.Box 283
Haverhill, Mass. 01830

William F Weld
U.S. Atty. Office
McCormack P.O. & Ct.
Boston, Mass. 02109

Solicitor General
Dept. Justice
Washington, D.C. 20543

INDEX

	Diverso Intuitu.....	1
I.	Opinion Below	3
II	Parties Litigant	4
III	Jurisdiction.....	4
IV	Court Orders	5
V.	Statement of the Issues Presented	5
VI	Questions Presented.....	8
VII	Statement of the Case	9
VIII	Dirty Tricks C.I.A. Training Manual T.M.-SW7905.1	13
IX	Constitutional Provisions Relied on & Cases Cited which maintain Jurisdiction.....	14
X.	Argument	21
XI	Substantial Reasons	24
XII	Conclusion.....	26
	Theological Judgment	28
	Glossary	30

Constitutional Provisions
and cases cited

Blackstone Commentaries.....	19
Boyd v U.S.	11,12,19
Branzeberg v Hayes	16
Chisholm v Georgia.....	18
Craig v Missouri	20
Jerome Daly v First National Bank/Montgomery	20,25
Dartmouth College v Woodward	19
Geofrey v Higgs.....	18
Gouled v U.S.	19
Green v U.S.	18
Hill v Philpott.....	18
Julliard v Greenman.....	18
Kansas v Colorado.....	18
Mapp v Ohio.....	19
Marbury v Madison	18,19
Miranda v Arizona	19
Monongahela Navigation Co. v U.S.	19
Nuremberg.....	27
Olmstead v U.S.....	16,17
William Penn.....	25
Reid v Covert.....	18
Sherar v Cullen.....	18
State v Simmons	19
Taylor v Porter.....	19
Universal Life Church v U.S.....	17,18
U.S. v Dougherty	18
U.S. v Maylan	18
Wayland v U.S.....	21
Williams v Florida	19
Preamble; Art.3,4,6; Amend 1,4-10,13,14....	1,2,3,4,6,17,8,9,11,12,13, 14,15, 19,20,22,23,24,25,28,30,31
Common Law.....	1,3,5,11,14,16,17,18,23, 25,28,30
Body of Liberties	2,5,24,28,29
Allodial Freehold	10,11
Magna Carta.....	5,19,28
Declaration of Independence	5,7,9,12,19,22
Article X Const. Mass.	5,9,11,20,21
AmJur2d.....	2,8,18,19,20,28
5 U.S.C.	6,11,22
T.M.-SW7905.1	4,6,13,14,27,29
Federal Reserve Act 1913.....	16

APPENDIX

U.S.D.Ct.

1. 1A. Complaint for Declaratory & Injunctive Relief
Common Law Affidavit in Support
Cert.#8614160 Revocation to IRS
Cert.#8595367 Charge of IRS Fraud
Cert.#8614167 IRS Defaulted
Cert.#8695368 questions/jurisdiction,etc.
23. 1B Request to Clerk for Default Judgment, etc.
25. 1C Interrogatories Propounded to Defendants
29. 1D Special Attention of the Court
Courts declare Constitution void
30. 1E Motion to dissolve Alleged Federal Tax Lien
32. 1F Demand for Restraining Order
33. 1G Special Attention of the Court
Criminal Charges
Roscoe L Egger jr. publicly admits to criminality
Cert#(1958 to date) Disclaim Jurisdiction, etc.
Cert#8595382 Demand proof of Jurisdiction (if any)
39. 1H Oath of Purgation
47. 1I Plaintiff's Objection
Cert#6854622 Charge of FRAUD
51. 1J Sovereign Citizen Disclaimer & Declaration (of Sov.Immunity)
53. 1K Writ of Habeas Corpus
55. 1L Demand U.S.Atty & Dept.Justice get off case
56. 1M U.S.D.Ct. "Judgment"
(Motion to Dismiss)
57. 1N Quo Warrant Writ of Prohibition
62. 1-O Notice of Appeal
63. 1P Common Law Affidavit; Disclaimer of Jurisdiction & Authority and Declaration of Outlaw
64. 1Q Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity Declaration of Independence

U.S.C.A.1.

73. 2A Appellant Common Law Brief & Christian Manifesto
74. 2B Appellant Demand to Strike
76. 2C Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity..Denial "memo" is valid
79. 2D Theological Judgment
83. 2E Quo Warranto Cert#6854614 to IRS
85. 2F U.S.C.A.1. Memorandum & Order
86. 2G Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity Demand "memo" be vacated
90. 2H Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity Support of Demand
"memo" be vacated (Civil, Religious, Common Law RIGHTS
tax packet..74 pages..not herein included).
And in Alternative Notice of Appeal
91. 2I Order of Court
92. 2J Notice of Appeal
93. 2K Notice of Appeal
94. 2L Common Law Affidavit; Disclaimer of Jurisdiction and Authority; and Declaration of Outlaw
- *****103..2M REVOKE CONSENT OF GOVERNED*****

- 105. 3A Universal Life Church v U.S.A.
- 106. 3B Civil and Religious Liberties
- 114. 3C Memo: Admitted,Admitted/Averred as TRUE//Prima Facie Evidence
- 120. 3D Charge of(Contempt of Court
(Contempt of Constitution
- 122. 3E NOTICE OF FELONY
- 124. 3F COMMON LAW AFFIDAVIT & MEMO IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S
***** COMPLAINT AND PLEADINGS*****

v
Appendix

Special Addition

Several of the Exhibits are stamped DENIED. However, where the court admitted, admitted/averred as TRUE that it had NO jurisdiction/authority for violation Constitutional Rights; and as being illegal, unlawful, unConstitutional, though stamped "denied", and never having been denied/answered, etc. by adversary, the court "finding" is null and void as though it had never been made.

- 129. 4A Affidavit for Taxpayer Protection
- 131 4B Demand for Evidentiary Hearing..Court has Failed to Protect
- 132 4C Motion to Vacate
- 135 4D Objections
- 137 4E Motion to Dismiss
Affidavit for Citizen's Protection
- 140 4F Demand for Written Report
- 141 4F Refusal to Docket
- 144 4G Writ of Impecuniosity & Inability to Comply
- 146 4H Claim of Immunity
- 147 4I Quo Warranto
- 148 4J Demand for Criminal Charges against IRS for filing
Fraudulent Matter
- 149 4K Refusal to Docket
- 151 4L SPECIAL NOTICE
- 152 4M Claim there can be no Sanction or Penalty
- 153 4N Claim U.S.T.Ct. is UNConstitutional
- 154 4-O Writ of Prohibition
- 155 4P Affidavit of Appellant
- 157 4Q The American Mafia

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
WASHINGTON, D.C.

Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland

Appellant/Plaintiff

against

Internal Revenue Service
Richard Greene
Ms Chiccarelli
Roscoe L Egger

Appellee/Defendant

U.S.D.Ct. #81-2155-G
U.S.C.A.1. 82-1306

No. _____

JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT

On Appeal from the U.S.D.Ct., U.S.C.A.1.

DIVERSO INTUITU

Instant Case is CHURCH-STATE confrontation wherein the lower courts and Appellees Admitted, Admitted/Averred as TRUE all the facts and law of Appellant's Complaint and pleadings; then proceeded to violate their Article 6 (U.S.Const) oath/affirmation to uphold the Constitution of the United States as the Supreme Law; the lower courts, without constitutional grounds, pulled out of thin air groundless and fraudulent decisions.

This was not simply error by the lower courts: it was and remains Contempt of the Constitution.

Appellant demanded Jury Trial and all Procedural Safeguards (Amend 6,7; Common Law Public-Wrong) and these were denied him. In fact...No trial of any kind was ever held.

Appellant demanded Redress of Grievance and Religious Rights. These were, of course, also violated. (Amend.1,9,10)

Appellant demanded Mandated Protections. The lower courts ignored the demands (Amend.1,4,5,9,10,14)

The lower courts violated the Sovereignty of Appellant. (Preamble, Amend.9,10; Common Law; Theological Law; Body of Liberties

1641, etc.). And acted without permission/consent of Sovereign Citizen...which is required in a Republic (of individuals) under Contract: the U.S.Constitution, wherein the government is particularly mandated to be servant; and, as servant can not exceed the master (Preamble, Amend. 9, 10; Common Law; New Testament).

This Petition for Redress of Grievance is prepared pro se forma pauperis, as Affidavit of Minister (And, as Affidavit is thereby Theological Judgment: Body of Liberties, 1641, the Mass. Magna Carta), under Jurisdictional Statement Rule 15 of the Rules of the Supreme Court of the United States (rev. 12/1/71); and submits this Statement to establish that the Supreme Court of the United States has jurisdiction granted to it by the rules and by the Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity of Appellant, Rt. Rev. Dr. Edward Wayland.

However, that Jurisdiction is limited to Amendment 1 Redress.

The U.S. Constitution, or any part thereof, being Constitutional, the lower courts did not have the jurisdiction/authority to pack-and-choose what cases they would hear or not hear. Such action is Legislation..not judication..in violation of the mandate that only legislature can make laws, within the boundaries of the U.S. Const.

"In the early days when a man had a grievance he redressed it himself. During the formation of societies he gave up that right on the theory that when he was grieved the society was grieved and the society redressed it for him. However when that society refuses to redress his grievance, or when that society is the source of his grievance, that society has given back to the man the right to redress it himself...and that society has lost all jurisdiction/authority of that man.

(Preamble. Amend. 9, 10; Common Law; Theological Law; Body of Liberties 1641, etc.)

The U.S.D.Ct., U.S.C.A.1., U.S.S.Ct. has AFFIRMED to the Appellant, Rt. Rev. Dr. Edward Wayland, the unenumerated, reserved and unalienable RIGHT to redress his grievances.

Instant case is quite simple. And horrible in its implication.

This is CHURCH-STATE confrontation, wherein Appellees admitted to total want of jurisdiction/authority and proceeded illegally, unlawfully, unConstitutionally as criminals. In so doing, Appellees violated Amendment 1 Right-to-Petition; Establishment and Free Exercise Clauses; denial of multiple other Constitutional and Common Law Rights. Appellees illegally, unlawfully, unConstitutionally placed LIEN upon CHURCH property; and then refused to remove it when charged with unconstitutionality, etc.

Appellees Admitted, Admitted/Averred as TRUE to being in Contempt-of-the-Constitution. In so doing, Appellees lost any and all actual or implied jurisdiction/authority. (AmJur2d Constitutional Law, Court, Jurisdiction, Jury, Taxpayer Actions). Thus, any and all actions at

in the lower courts are null and void as though they had never been made (U.S.Const; Common Law; Theological Judgment). They are included in the Appendix out of courtesy..not because they are valid (which they are NOT).

IT IS WRITTEN:

Saul, on the road to Damascus (to persecute more Christians, having run out of the local supply) heard the Voice of the Lord Jesus Christ "saying unto him. Saul, Saul, Why persecutest thou me?" Acts 9:4

For it is Written that those who persecute the Church of Jesus Christ persecute Jesus Christ Himself.

And those whoso shall receive one little child in My Name receiveth Me.

But whoso shall offend one of these little ones which believe in Me, it were better for him that a millstone were hanged about his neck and he were drowned in the depth of the sea.

Woe unto the world because of offenses! for it must needs be that offenses come; BUT WOE TO THAT MAN BY WHOM THE OFFENCE COMETH! Matt 18:4-7

WOE TO THAT MAN BY WHOM THE OFFENCE COMETH!

This nation being established as a Christian Nation, this Court must redress the oppressive government practice grieved-of and which have been repeatedly ignored by the lower courts. Refusal to Redress or to mandate Relief, establishes instant Petition as Minnister Affidavit and Theological Judgment and, as TRUE, not a partial-truth, but Total Truth that remains as TRUE irrespective of court actions; and, REVOKES "Consent of Governed" Clause of Preamble, Amend.9,10, and Declaration of Independence.

I

OPINION BELOW

After admitting the U.S.Attorney representing Appellees had no jurisdiction/authority...thus no standing in the court..and that such pleadings filed by him were null and void, the U.S.D.Ct. on March 29, 1982 dismissed the case upon the Defendant's motion to dismiss. Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland filed Quo Warranto Writ of Prohibition & Notice of Appeal which were Admitted, Admitted/Averred as TRUE

TOGETHER with Common Law Affidavit; Disclaimer of Jurisdiction & Authority; and Declaration of Outlaw (Later W.Arthur Garrity jr was also fired for wontinual violation of the Constitution) and Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity Declaration of Independence.

WITHOUT JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

On July 6, 1982, the U.S.C.A.1. Boston summarily affirmed the U.S.D.Ct. dismissal (red 7/23/82).

Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland then Demanded the alleged "Memo" be vacated for violation Constitutional, Common Law, Religious etc. Rights.July 24, 1982; and Support of DemandAug. 4, 1982 (74+pgs)

This was promptly denied, by return mail, August 11, 1982 and NOTICE OF APPEAL filed August 14, 1982; together with Common Law Affidavit; Disclaimer of Jurisdiction & Authority; and Declaration of Outlaw

And Sovereign Citizen's mandate: REVOKE CONSENT OF GOVERNED.

Thus establishing that Appellant was denied JURY TRIAL demanded, and other unenumerated, reserved, and inalienable rights.

II

Parties Litigant

Appellant/Plaintiff, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland, is a Citizen of the United States of America; and is Sovereign, with unalienable Sovereign Immunity Rights, as mandated by the Preamble, Amends.9,10 of the U.S.Constitution; and, under Sovereign Immunity, no actions can be taken against him without his permission, consent. This the Appellee NEVER had.

Without such consent, all actions are harassment; and violate the prime function of the U.S.Constitution: The Right to be let Alone.

Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland is minister, ordained by GOD 1/6/36 in Philadelphia; and a former scientist on the Manhattan Project; and the victim of government swindles and thefts in excess of 12,774,000+

Appellee/Defendants, Ms Chiccarelli (Boston) and Richard Greene (Lowell) are minor employees zealously applying illegal orders, in spite of Nauremberg mandate and those of the U.S.Constitution; while Roscoe L Eggar is the "head" of the I.R.S., who has admitted publicly that the I.R.S. does many, many criminal acts which can not be justified by the U.S.Constitution.

Whereas, the Internal Revenue Service, though claiming to be a branch of the Treasury Department, is in all fact a secret branch of the C.I.A., and following secret orders in undeclared war upon the Sovereign Citizen (see dirty tricks C.I.A. Training Manual T.M.-SW7905.1)

Demand was made upon all Appellees to prove jurisdiction/authority; to prove each separately and collectively is lawful, legal, Constitutional. Each has failed to prove; and thus, actions without jurisdiction/authority are CRIMINAL.

III

JURISDICTION

Jurisdiction/authority to redress his grievances (only) is granted to this court by the Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland.

Further jurisdiction (limited to redress only) is brought under the Constitution, and parts thereof:

Article 3,4,6; Amendments 1,2,4-10,13,14 (any portion of which is jurisdictional).

Magna Carta 1215 A.D.

Body of Liberties 1641 (Comm. Mass Magna Carta) which establish the Word of the Minister is Affidavit; and, as Affidavit is Theological Judgment; which must be HONORED by the courts Declaration of Independence (which establishes Sovereignty of Citizen; and provides that NO government has jurisdiction/authority without "consent of governed"; and, this being REPUBLIC, "consent" is of INDIVIDUAL...not majority).

Common Law Public-Wrong provisions wherein government is a litigant..proceedings are CRIMINAL, and all Proceedings and Safeguards apply, including Burden-of-Proof. Anything less is government-lynching; and "case-law" is judicial making-law in violation of Constitution (Legislature).

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 8, 12(b)(8), etc. wherein all that is not answered or denied completely is Admitted and Admitted/Averred as TRUE...for all time!

Thus, Citizen demand for proof of jurisdiction/authority when not proven constitutes gov't FELONY (Olmstead v U.S.)

Article X (Const. Mass) which mandates that when the government fails to protect: Sovereign Citizen is not required to pay; and Common Law (Christian) the government ceases to have jurisdiction/authority.

Allegedly:

26 U.S.C. 1443, 7206, 13, 14, 17, 7344, 7804(b)

28 U.S.C. 1346

42 U.S.C. 1983, 1985, 1986, 1988

(However, statutes since 1915 are suspect under Amend. 17-Senate).

And, whatsoever is not listed herein is not waived by omission both Above and Below.

IV

S.S.D.Ct. March 29, 1982

(which is dismissal based on (a) Constitution of U.S. is not valid, binding law (b) calling the minister a LIAR)

U.S.C.A.1. July 8, 1982 affirming dismissal

August 11, 1982, affirming dismissal.

V

STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES PRESENTED

This Nation has a Constitution. Both the Nation and the Constitution are Christian.

However this Nation does not have a Constitutional, nor Christian, Government. The exact date of unConstitutionality can not be determined precisely. Conservatives estimate approximately 1908 (under the guise of Taft from Territory-of-Ohio); others maintain approximately 1860-61. Regardless of the exact date, it is clearly apparent, even to the most stupid, that the Anti-Christ has a strong foothold and is well entrenched in government.

And, of course, such an Anti-Christ would be very much concerned in destroying the Church, the Christians, etc. (see T.M.-SW7905.1)

A Sovereign Citizen can be a citizen of the NATION under the Constitution, and maintain his Sovereign Immunity against unConstitutional government. The determinant is the Common Law Jury Trial per pais peers (which is the first to be attacked under T.M.-SW7905.1..the rest of the Judicial System to follow suit).

1. That where W.Arthur Garrity jr, alleged judge, violated his Article 6 oath to uphold the Constitution as the Supreme Law, and denied that it is binding upon him..that"statutes" are, not the U.S. Const., and for violation of Constitutional Rights, he lost any and all jurisdiction/authority he may, or may not, have had; and, under Amend.17-Senate he is illegal, unlawful, unConstitutional. This he admitted in court. Thus he is acting in Felony, Anarchy, Outlaw. And has since been fired by the Sovereign Citizen.

2. The Department of Justice, allegedly representing the Appellees Admitted and Admitted/Averred as TRUE (for all time) that it is illegal, unlawful, unConstitutional under defective Amend.17-Senate, and has failed to establish jurisdiction/authority to act in court; and having acted in false/fraudulent attempts to restrain the Sovereign Citizen, etc. is acting in Felony, Anarchy, Outlaw.

Thus, none of the "pleadings" filed by the U.S.Attorneys are valid; and thus have no merit nor weight...nor against the Affidavit Theological Judgment of minister.

3. W.Arthur Garrity jr, though admitting to illegality, bias, etc. refused to relesse from instant case; and, though rebuked, continued to affront the minister with increasingly rabid violations of his Constitutional, Common Law, Theological, etc. Rights; denied validity of OATH OF PURGATION, and other special pleadings; thus, in effect and totality, calling MINISTER a liar; and by which judgment he is judged.

4. It is Admitted, Admitted/Averred as TRUE that the Lien upon the Church property IS Fraud; that it was improperly put upon Church in violation Amendment 1,4,9,10,etc.; and that NO I.R.S., or other, had authority/jurisdiction to do such an abominable thing.

5. The claim that the I.R.S. et al, agents thereof, etc., are not sueable--is tâtally FALSE. Nowhere in the Constitution is granted immunity to the CRIMINAL servant. (see T.M.-SW7905.1) In fact, Appellees have failed to prove jurisdiction/authority for any actions either legal or illegal..in TWENTY THREE YEARS; and are in default as per Title 5 U.S.C. which mandates prompt and total answers.

6. The governmentof the United States of America has absolutely no jurisdiction/authority of or over Church.

7. Demand for DEFAULT JUDGMENT was made, as warned on the Summons for (a) failure to timely, completely Answer; (b)Amendment 1 Right to Redress (as Constitution and Supreme Law take precedence over any and all statutory motions, etc..since 1915 under Amend.17-Senate).

The court (W.Arthur Garrity jr) refused to uphold the Constitution; thus ruled on quicksand statutes, rules, regulations passed to deny and deprive of Constitutional Rights asserted by the Citizen.

8. Under F.R.C.P.Rule 12(b)(6) "fails to state a claim", the Appellees totally admitted/averred as TRUE the Appellant's facts and law. Thus, once having admitted, the admission remains TRUE for all times, in all litigations. Thus, having admitted to the grievance, as a Constitutional issue, W.Arthur Garrity jr had no jurisdiction/authority to dismiss..and, calling "lack of subject matter jurisdiction" is in effect stating that the Constitution of the U.S. is INSUFFICIENT.

9. The subject matter of the petition concerns violations of the U.S.Constitution. Such denial, of course, violates the Art.6 oath/affirmation to uphold the Constitution as the Supreme Law.. to "uphold" is to ENFORCE..not interpret mischievously. And, any claim that it lacks subject matter jurisdiction of the U.S.Constitution is, of course, PERJURY.

10. The contention by Appellees and W.Arthur Garrity jr that the U.S. has Immunity of Sovereign is further perjury. The Preamble, Amendments 9,10; Declaration of Independence, establish that the government is SERVANT..in Contract with the Sovereign Citizen,MASTER, Third-Party Non-Signer, as the SERVANT to that Citizen.

The Servant can not exceed the Master. Nor can the Servant have undeclared Immunities without the express WRITTEN permission/consent of the Master. No such permission/consent has been granted in this Republic. And, the permission/consent of Sovereign Citizen is herein, and previously, denied.

11. The claim that Statutes: 26 U.S.C. and 28 U.S.C. bar action of instant case are illegal, unlawful, unConstitutional (under Defective Amend.17-Senate...and have been admitted/averred by Appellees and, being unConstitutional, violates multiple Constitutional mandates: Preamble; Art.3,4,6; Amend.1,4-10,13,14; Common Law (Christian, not former king's self-serving courts) etc.etc.etc. and are unconstitutional on their face and in their application. This was so charged; and the Burden-of-Proof being upon government, the government failed to prove.

26 U.S.C. and 28 U.S.C. are UNCONSTITUTIONAL by (a) default (b) Admitted, Admitted/Averred as TRUE.

12. All pleadings of Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland, as Minister are witness, evidence, and Affidavit; and Theological Judgment. And which must, by the Body-of-Liberties 1641, be honored in the courts. To dismiss..is to DISHONOR; and to be self-judged thereby.

13. In refusing to requeesse, get off case, W.Arthur Garrity jr established conspiracy/collusion to deny/deprive of Constitutional Rights; and, by subvert and overt "legalisms" (which look like law but are not) attempted to limit, by court-enumeration, the Unenumerated Rights of Amend.9, Reserved Rights of Amend.10; and denied

JURY TRIAL, an absolute Right, which was demanded by Appellant. W.Arthur Garrity jr, and all branches of government, are forbidden and prohibited by the U.S.Constitution from victimizing the Sovereign Citizen.

Denial of Constitutional Rights is INSTANT loss of jurisdiction/authority; and cannot be re-enstated on the "judge's" say-so.

14. Actions by Appellees is ATTAINDER, and Bill-of-Attainder, under color-of-law in that it INJURES Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland, denies Constitutional Rights (including Redress), attacks the Christian Church...as a fait-accomplish...without Constitutional Right to defend.

All branches of government: Executive, Legislative, Judiciary lose jurisdiction/authority for violation Constitutional Rights (U.S.Const. U.S.S.Ct. res judicata); and for creating infernal situations wherein the Sovereign Citizen can not obtain Redress. Thus, in taking improper actions, for which there was no Constitutional Redress (74AmJur2d Taxpayer Actions Sect.10-14) such action taken by Appellees was invalidated by the Constitution provisions, and established such actions as FRAUD (which Appellees admitted quite freely); and admitted to the filing of false and fraudulent documents in a public place.

15. When the charges of FRAUD, lack of jurisdiction/authority is made, neither the executive, legislative, nor judiciary can proceed until such charges are disproven. They have NOT been disproven; in fact, Appellees have Admitted, Admitted/Averred as TRUE...even to the charge: Contempt-of-the-Constitution.

16. No branch of government has the jurisdiction/authority to ATTACK, by any means, a lawful, legal, Constitutional Christian Church which is held Allodial Freehold by SEVERAL FREEMEN (see Body of Liberties). Which church has a VALID I.R.S. religious exemption number...which has been obtained without granting to the I.R.S. any jurisdiction/authority, nor admitting to validity of I.R.S.

17. That where the U.S.C.A.1. has stated (in writing) that the Constitution of the U.S. is not valid, binding law, procedure through the U.S.C.A.1. has been more to comply with the rules than out of faith in the U.S.C.A.1.. For, the U.S.S.Ct. has refused to docket Appellant's case direct from the U.S.D.Ct. to the U.S.S.Ct.

All of the above is admitted, admitted/averred as TRUE.

VI

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Can any court, or judge, or branch of government, proceed when the charge of FRAUD and Lack of Jurisdiction/Authority is made (and which charge is admitted, admitted/averred as TRUE)?

(The U.S.Const.; U.S.S.Ct. AmJur2d state it cannot, res judicata)

and "finding" under such charges are null and void as though they had never been made)

2. Can any court, judge, or branch of government, proceed when it is proven to be continually violating Guaranteed and Mandated Constitutional Rights?

(No. 1 above)

3. Can any court, judge, branch of government, deny Redress and retain jurisdiction/authority of Sovereign Citizen without his express permission/consent?

(Preamble; Amend.9,10; Declaration of Independence; Art.X. Conts.Mass; U.S.S.Ct.; etc. state it cannot, res judicata)

4. Where does any branch of government get enumerated-powers jurisdiction/authority to violate CONTRACT (U.S.Constitution) with, or without, impunity, accountability, special privileges?

(U.S.Const; U.S.S.Ct.; AmJur2d state it cannot, res judicata)

5. Can a court, judge, or branch of government proceed, and ignore, the charge of UNCONSTITUTIONALITY?

(U.S.Const; U.S.S.Ct.; AmJur2d states it cannot. On the charge of UNCONSTITUTIONALITY, the government comes to a screeching halt until disproven. res judicata)

6. Can any branch of government proceed upon Amend.16, after having ADMITTED, in court, it is UNConstitutional (numerous times, res judicata); and proceed to apply alleged "statutes" founded upon, and using unconstitutional Amendment 16 as base and source?

(No. 5 above)

7. Can the court, judge, branch of government, or any part thereof, maintain the claim of "immunity"?

(The Preamble, Amend.9,10; Declaration of Independence; U.S.S.Ct. state clearly that the government is NOT Sovereign..thus cannot claim Sovereign Immunity. Anything to the contrary (including Marshall's 1821 "finding") is contrary to the U.S.Const. and is the Perjury of rogues, scoundrels, assorted tyrants). (Even under God's Law of Liberty & Justice, with Free-Will, there is ACCOUNTABILITY. It may be delayed (at times) but it is inevitable and certain).

8. Can the court, judge, branch of government, attack, damage, violate the Amendment 1 ESTABLISHMENT and FREE EXERCISE of religion clauses?

(No. This is Breach of Contract, Breach of Covenant, Breach of Faith; and releases adverse party from any and all obligation).

9. Can the I.R.S. put an improper Lien upon Christian Church?

(NO. Such action releases the Sovereign Citizen, as Christian from any and all obligation to the United States of America).

10. Where is the jurisdiction/authority; permission/consent to violate Amendment 1 Right to Petition for redress of Grievance; and ESTABLISHMENT and FREE EXERCISE clauses?

(There is none. Such action is Perjury, Felony, Treason, Anarchy and Outlaw).

VII

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

-A-

October 29, 1981, Appellees filed LIEN upon Christian Church

with which Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland, is associated. This Church is Evangelical healing ministry under the prime directive of Jesus Christ. So, in effect, it was Jesus Christ, Himself, who is leined.

The Church is held Allodial Freehold (absolute ownership: Body of Liberties, 1641); by FREEMEN: Christians.

Appellees Admitted, Admitted/Averred as TRUE (Prima Facie Evidence) (hereinafter as AAAT/PFE) that the lien is FRAUD, was never signed nor issued from any lawful, legal, Constitutional judge or court; that it violates multiple Constitutional Rights; that Appellees have no jurisdiction/authority nor permission/consent to file the Lien; that previous Disclaimers and Revocations had established that the Sovereign Citizen was IMMUNE from such criminal actions.

But...NO criminal knows when to stop.

Appellees refused to remove the illegal Lien. Then, established malice and illegality under Common Law, as well by refusing offer of settlement (made without admitting to jurisdiction/authority of the IRE); for, under Common Law a valid offer when rejected without cause cancels any and all claims, fictitious or real.

Under the Common Law Public-Wrong, when government is one of the litigants, the action (regardless of Civil, etc. label) is Criminal, and all Procedural Safeguards apply; including Burden-of-Proof upon government.

Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland, as Sovereign Citizen, in total Sovereign Immunity (Preamble; Amend.9,10; Declaration of Independence) thereafter (and before the Lien) made several serious charges and declarations...WITH PROOF...which Appellees have Admitted, Admitted/Averred as TRUE and which have become Prima Facie Evidence. Which will be listed below, throughout.

Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland, then brought suit to remove the lien, and for damages/violation Constitutional Rights, etc. Demanding Common Law Jury Trial per pais peers.

The U.S.District Attorney was declared to be illegal, unlawful, unConstitutional on the grounds of defective Amend.17-Senate, and for refusing to present Notice of Felony to the Grand Jury, thus failing to protect the Sovereign Citizen from oppressive government practices. This was AAAT/PFE.

W.Arthur Garrity jr then admitted, from the bench, that he, too, was illegal, unlawful, unConstitutional. However, this may or may not be material, for W.Arthur Garrity jr, under authority of Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity was fired (discharged) from his post for violation of Constitutional Rights...and for refusing to abide by the Constitution. This he has AAAT/PFE.

This, of course, means that the W.Arthur Garrity jr finding in instant case is a nullity; and the U.S.C.A.1. affirmation affirms a nullity; and establishes the case "in-limbo". What could be more absurd in a nation devoted to "...liberty and justice for all.." Who, or what, is "all"?

W.Arthur Garrity jr refused to get off the case, and continued to violate the Constitution..including denial of Jury Trial demanded.

The Dept. Justice, U.S. Attorney, admitted he had no legal right to file pleadings or to appear in court; but refused to get off case.

Thus, the charge of Collusion/Conspiracy to deny Justice, Access-to-Justice, Constitutional Rights, Contempt-of-Constitution, etc. was filed against U.S. Attorney, W. Arthur Garrity Jr., and Appellees. AAAT/PFE. Thus establishing Criminality (which criminality the U.S.C.A.1. upheld; thus became accessory).

At NO time did Appellant, Rt. Rev. Dr. Edward Wayland, grant permission/consent to dismiss the action. In fact, strictly forbade it.

Before the Lien, Appellant, Rt. Rev. Dr. Edward Wayland, demanded from Appellees proof of jurisdiction/authority under the provisions of Title 5 U.S.C. which mandate that answer be made promptly and completely. Appellees failed to prove.

Appellant, Rt. Rev. Dr. Edward Wayland, disclaimed the jurisdiction/authority (and revoked permission/consent) to statutes, laws, administrative laws, hidden laws, secret treaties, etc. etc. AAAT/PFE.

Appellant charged Appellees with FRAUD, filing false and fraudulent documents in public places; defamation; Perjury, Felony, Treason, Anarchy, and declared them (under Sovereign Citizen right) OUTLAW, without rights at law... or in decent society (Matt. 18:15-17)

Appellant filed Article X (Const. Mass.) Notice, which must be obeyed in Mass. that where the government had failed to protect the Sovereign Citizen is NOT required to pay (and loses jurisdiction/authority). AAAT/PFE.

Appellant filed AFFIDAVIT FOR TAXPAYER PROTECTION (establishing immunity of citizen from IRS) which Appellees accepted, filed, and used in a public place.

Appellant filed Writ of Impecuniosity and Inability to Comply on the grounds that the f.r.n. is not a Constitutional Dollar, and Sovereign Citizen cannot be compelled to pay with what he does not have and cannot get. Appellees accepted. AAAT/PFE.

Appellant filed QUO WARRANTO, Prerogative Writ of the Sovereign to forbid and to prohibit trespass against his person, property, papers & effects (Amend. 4; Common Law). The Lien filed by Appellees is TRESPASS, also. AAAT/PFE

Appellant charged Appellees with being illegal, unlawful, unconstitutional under defective Amend. 17-Senate, and without jurisdiction/authority; thereby CRIMINALS. AAAT/PFE.

Appellant, Rt. Rev. Dr. Edward Wayland, charged Appellees with the theft of 50,000.00, more or less, from his postoffice box. AAAT/PFE.

Appellant, Rt. Rev. Dr. Edward Wayland, charged Appellees with harassment and retaliation for winning against IRS in jury trial. AAAT/PFE. And that the IRS, by their anti-citizen mathematics, owed to Appellant (as of May 31, 1982) more than 12,774,000 DOLLARS. AAAT/PFE. (which W. Arthur Garrity Jr. claims is not valid; but then, he isn't valid).

Appellant, Rt. Rev. Dr. Edward Wayland, charged Appellees had no jurisdiction/authority over CHURCH, or over MINISTER. AAAT/PFE. And that under the Allodial Freehold provisions of property (affirmed

in Boyd v U.S.), which covers ALL properties; not just real, the Appellees had NO jurisdiction/authority for they had failed to establish that the CHURCH was fruit-of- or instrumentality-of-a crime. and that there can be NO sanction or penalty for standing upon Constitutional Rights. Res judicata. AAAT/PFE.

Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland, charged Appellees with Harassment of his 90+ year old mother, terrorizing and intimidation of his family, granddaughters. AAAT/PFE.

Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland, charged that Amend. 16, the federal reserve note, etc. are illegal, etc. This IS AAAT/PFE in the federal courts, also.

(what is omitted herein is not waived by omission).

AFTER the Lien was filed

Appellees AAAT/PFE that the Lien was improper, illegal, and unconstitutionally applied; that Appellees had no jurisdiction/authority nor permission/consent. That it never Constitutionally issued from any lawful, legal Constitutional Court. AAAT/PFE.

When Appellees refused to remove the Lien, suit was filed, at which point all charges were renewed, and which the Appellees AAAT/PFE the Complaint, demand for relief, and all pleadings. In addition, Appellees admitted to Unclean Hands, and thus, res judicata, are not entitled to Relief. AAAT/PFE.

W.Arthur Garrity Jr. refused to abide by the Constitution, and insisted upon quicksand legalisms and "statutes" that he freely Admitted were unconstitutional under defective Amend.17-Senate.. including the reasons stated on dismissal. This, too, he Admitted; thus, W.Arthur Garrity Jr established NO lawful, legal, Constitutional grounds for dismissal and denial of demanded Jury Trial.

Among the pleadings filed was Oath of Purgation, wherein Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland, is absolved by GOD of any and all real and fancied crimes, etc. charged against him; and charge-to-Ordeal...which Appellees defaulted, thus admitting to bearing false witness (Perjury).

And Writ-of-Habeas-Corpus to release the Body of Jesus Christ as personified by the CHURCH:

And Declaration of Independence (Sovereign Citizen: original plus up-date to the 20th century)

Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland, insisted on Jury Trial on the basis that each denied Certiorari and Appeal re-enforced the conviction that the Citizen cannot obtain justice in a government court on government-issue. (Instant case affirms this). Yet, the lower courts interfere with the jury-process; and have instituted dismissal-proceedures to deny Jury Trial demanded.m Thus, the lower courts have established tyranny and despotism without the permission/consent of Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland.

By Dismissing, W.Arthur Garrity jr (and the lower courts) have effectively called a MINISTER a liar. This violates the Body of Liberties, 1641 (via Art.6); and thus, the lower courts are in

Perjury, Felony, Treason, Anarchy, outlaw....and Blasphemy.
(Of course, this procedure is recommended in T.M.-SW7905.1)
This is vile and rank denial of redress of grievance.

The lower courts have Failed-to-Protect, as mandated by Amend.
4,9,10, and are thus in Breach of Contract (U.S.Constitution),
Breach of Covenant, Breach of Faith. AAAT/PFE.

VIII

Dirty Tricks C.I.A. Training Manual T.M.-SW7905.1

Only a brief glimpse of the 56-page T.M. can be listed here:

The government of the United States of America, via the C.I.A.
secretly declared war upon the Sovereign Citizens some time in May
1954...as the T.M. declares in "top secret".

The C.I.S. openly admits it is not accountable to the Sovereign
Citizen, nor to any political entity; that it is the dirty-tricks
extension of the elite and super-elite: local and international
bankers, doing their bidding, not that of the Constitution.
(T.M.-SW7905.1 pgs 8,9,10,11,12)

And has assisted in establishing a yo-yo paper currency, which
the C.I.A. openly admits is a FRAUD, as the most certain means of
destruction through inflation and instability in the monetary
market place. (pgs. 12,13,54,55)

In order to stabilize the economy (temporarily), a welfare
system, wherein the citizen is beholden to the government and
thereby bound, is necessary. Of course, wars and various forms of
genocide are required to thin out the population and those deemed
by the C.I.A. to be enemies, greedy, or recalcitrants. Greed of the
elite and super-elite is permissible...but not that of the laborer,
for that is a threat to the "control" of the subject. Those who
survive the monitoring are called "scum", "barbarians", etc.
(pgs 22,23,24)

In order to maintain control, the HOUSEHOLD must be placed in
the same category as industry. (pgs. 32,33)

To establish a controlled disintegration of the economy, it
is necessary to SHOCK the economy, to shake the economy, and to
clamp on controls via false prices, shortages, surpluses, etc.
(pgs. 13,14,33,34,35)

This disintegration can be accomplished (control) by many
strategies (with constant monitoring & feedback systems, refined
to an absolute certainty, using private & public sources of infor-
mation and intimidation). By converting various branches into
sources of coercion and intimidation..IRS, OSHA, etc. (The IRS
allegedly being a branch of the Dept. of Treasury, but actually an
extension of dirty-tricks C.I.A.)...a strong control program is
established. (pgs. 40-47)

The first order of Control and coercion is the brainwashing of
politicians, police, governors, judges, congressmen, espionage via

U.S. mails; to promote alcohol, drugs, destruction-of-illusions (wherein the C.I.A. claims religion is an illusion), destruction of private property (and the RIGHT to private property), create disorder, chaos, and insanity; unrestrained spying and surveillance, leach the wealth and substance of the citizen away from him. Foul up education to create ignoramuses. Destroy the family unit. (pgs. 40-47)

The C.I.A. admits, rather reluctantly, that the consent of the citizen is necessary and required, that it is still possible to inaugurate programs to keep him ignorant; and to use any means at hand (legal or illegal) to harass and to intimidate. The best tool at hand is the Internal Revenue Service. (The C.I.A. equates the compulsory payment of taxes as the citizen's visible consent to these horrors). Labor, though under theoretical citizen-consent at present, must be regimented to exert super-elite control. (pgs. 48,49)

This should not be too difficult to accomplish because (in the C.I.A. estimation) the citizen is greedy, stupid, psychotic scum who is only too eager to give to the politicians he hires the task of creating for him an artificial womb into which he will retreat and be safe and secure. (pgs 50,51,52)

Programs must be inaugurated in order to brainwash the citizen into wars and self-generated genocide. He must be corrupted with trivia, non-essentials, advertizing propaganda, psychotic peer-groups; and then, thoroughly "guilty" he can be pressed into doing the public dirty work under the label of "patriotic-national" service. (pgs 53-56)

FACTOR VI: CATTLE

"Those who will not use their brains are no better off than those who have no brains, and so this mindless school of jellyfish, father, mother, son, and daughter, become useful beasts of burden or trainers of the same." (pg.56)

After working hard to create the problem, situation, the C.I.A. has the affrontry to pass judgments!

The above establishes that the C.I.A. is unregistered foreign agent.

And, as an extension of the C.I.A., the Appellees, too, are unregistered foreign agent.

Appellees have outlived the name: Internal Revenue Service. It would be more aptly called: D.D.T. (Department of Dirty Tricks).

IX

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS RELIED ON; & CASES CITED WHICH MAINTAIN JURISDICTION

Under the Constitution, Common Law Public Wrong: the Burden-of Proof remains upon the government. (U.S.S.Ct. AmJur2d Const. Law, res judicata).

Thus, the Appellees, defended by the government, having failed to prove Constitutionality of challenged positions, the unconstitutionality remains Prima Facie Evidence.

Constitutional Violations by Appellees

- A. Article 3. Denies access to courts in cases at law & equity.
Denies mandated jury trial (Common Law Public Wrong)
- B. Article 4. Denies Equal Privileges and Immunities; establishes false and fraudulent "sovereign immunity" of the SERVANT: the I.R.S. etc.
- C. Denies Republican form of government by establishing bureaucratic Tyranny mandates that are alleged to be of more authority than the courts...or the Sovereign Citizen.
- C. Article 6. Denies the Constitution is the Supreme Law of the Land; and by subornation corrupts judges, etc. to break their individual Art.6 oath/affirmation
- D. Amendment 1. Violates ESTABLISHMENT, FREE EXERCISE Clauses
Denies Freedom of Speech; Denies Right to Petition the government for Redress of Grievances (especially where the government is the SOURCE of the grievances); Invades and abuses the RIGHT TO BE LET ALONE.
- E. Amendment 2. Denies the RIGHT to protect self and property by (royal) fiat and falsely under "color-of-law".
- F. Amendment 4. Fails-to-Protect, in denying security of persons, property, houses, papers and effects...without DUE PROCESS!!! and especially violates the honorable ALLODIAL FREEHOLD.
- G. Amendment 5. Denies fair, public, speedy trial by impartial jury; denies assistance of counsel; denies Procedural Safeguards; etc.
- H. Amendment 6. Denies fair, public, speedy trial under Due Process; seizes without just compensation; compels witness-against-himself, etc.
- I. Amendment 7. Denies Common Law Jury Trial per pais peers.
- J. Amendment 8. Establishes excessive cruel and unusual punishments without redress; without Due Process; without trial.
- K. Amendment 9. Denies Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity (Preamble & A.9,10) and all other unenumerated RIGHTS on the fraudulent "legalism" basis that if it is not spelled out, it is invalid, illegal..especially if for the protection of the Citizen.
- L. Amendment 10. Denies the Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity; and many, many other Reserved RIGHTS; and assumes, usurps and abuses powers not granted, not enumerated (Amend.9)
- M. Amendment 13. Attempts to subordinate Sovereign Citizen into class of involuntary servitude & peonage, without proper conviction of any crimes; and without jurisdiction/authority of any legal court.
- N. Amendment 14. Attempts to convert Citizenship into a privilege-grant by government instead of mandated Sovereign Immunity RIGHT that is res judicata; Denies Due Process, Equal Protection, privileges, Immunities.
- O. Amendment 16, 17: upon which Title 26 & 28 are based, was never issued by Constitutional 2/3rds of Congress, never ratified by 3/4ths of the legal states; and IMPROPERLY "signed" into law.

Thus, both being unconstitutional (1913-1915), enforcement directly, or by "color-of-law" statute is FRAUD. AAAT/PFF.

P. Federal Reserve Act of 1913...illegal, unlawful, unConstitutional wherever it changes the Constitutional Monetary System by STATUTE (Amend.17-Senate) and not by AMENDMENT to the Constitution as is mandated. This, under defective Amend.17-Senate, can no longer be done.

Q. God's Law of Liberty & Justice.

This Nation is established as a Christian Nation, and was dedicated to GOD numerous times beginning with the Shipboard Covenant, 1636. The Common Law is the Christian Common Law founded upon the Testaments. Therefore, such statutes as violate the honor, honesty, decency, morality; establish FRAUD by usurping the functions of the Christian Church illegally, unlawfully, unConstitutionally (in violation Amend.1); and establish HUMANISM as the government church...which is strictly prohibited.

Therefore the "statutes" cited by W.Arthur Garrity jr, being unconstitutional, establish FRAUD; prevent redress to the Sovereign, the Citizen; allow unrestrained and evil harassment/persecution under "color-of-law", without accountability for the extreme bias and malice. This violates the greatest right of all: THE RIGHT TO BE LET ALONE.

Such usurpations not only establish Denial-of-Justice, but also Denial of ACCESS-to-Justice; Fraudulent "protections" (mislabelled gov't immunities) are a prime instigator and mover to corruptions in government. Protection of improper motives and influences, plain disregard of Constitutional duty, gross abuse of power or discretion, etc. become the casualties: Due Process and Accountability for government actions. There are NO such immunities in the Constitution. Nuremberg & Watergate establish that.

The FOUNDERS warned of such usurpations and corruptions. And built Safeguards into the Constitution...which, by mutual consent of the branches of government, have been eroded away.

As Justice Douglas stated so clearly and bluntly in his dissent *Branzeberg v Hayes* 408 U.S. 665:

For an understanding of these principles it is essential to keep clear the crucial difference between 'the rights' of the governed and 'the powers' of the governors. And at this point, the title 'Bill of Rights' is lamentably inaccurate as a designation of the first ten amendments. They are not a 'Bill of Rights' but a 'Bill of Powers and Rights'. The Second through the Ninth Amendments limit the powers of the subordinate agencies in order that due regard shall be paid to the private 'rights of the governed'. The First and Tenth Amendments protect the governing 'powers' of the people from abridgment by the agencies which are established as their SERVANTS. In the field of our 'rights', each one of us can claim 'due process of law'. In the field of our governing powers, the notion of 'due process' is irrelevant.

And, also, in *Olmstead v U.S.* 277 U.S. 438, Justice Brandeis dissented and WARNED in clear, sharp language:

When these unlawful acts were committed they were crimes

only to the officers individually. The government was innocent, in legal contemplation; for no federal official is authorized to commit a crime on its behalf. When the government, having full knowledge, sought, through the Department of Justice, to avail itself of the fruits of these acts in order to accomplish its own ends, it assumed moral responsibility for the officers' crimes.. And if this court should permit the government, by means of its officers' crimes, to effect its purpose of punishing the defendants, there would seem to be present all the elements of a ratification. If so, the government itself would become a lawbreaker.

....Decency, security, and liberty alike demand that government officials shall be subject to the same rules of conduct that are commands to the citizen. In a government of laws, existence of the government will be imperiled if it fails to observe the law scrupulously. Our government is the potent, the omnipresent teacher. For good or for ill, it teaches the whole people by its example. Crime is contagious. If the government becomes a lawbreaker, it breeds contempt for law; it invites every man to become a law unto himself; it invites anarchy. To declare that in the administration of the criminal law the end justifies the means--to declare that the government may commit crimes in order to secure the conviction of a private criminal--would bring terrible retribution.

Against that pernicious doctrine this court should resolutely set its face.

Under the Common Law Public-Wrong, whenever government is one of the litigants, regardless of alleged "civil", or other labels, the case is totally CRIMINAL; and all Procedural Safeguards apply: including the important one of...JURY TRIAL.

The warnings of Olmstead apply; and remain a warning to government as to their actions...and the results thereof. For, when such actions violate the mandate provisions that this nation is a REPUBLIC, and in other unlisted ways of having betrayed the governed: the governed are thus granted the unsundered RIGHT to REVOKE that consent. (Preamble; Amend. 9, 10; Declaration of Independence)

And, for W. Arthur Garrity Jr, acting for the lower court, to sanction and to approve of criminal actions of "officers" (Appellees) and branches of the government is to put the official government stamp of approval upon CRIME.

Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity Appellant, Rt. Rev. Dr. Edward Wayland, has not agreed to this; has denied his permission/consent; and is forbidden by his Lord GOD to honor or to glorify such evil...for such actions are of satan..not of GOD.

Civil No. S-1954 Universal Life Church v U.S.A.

Neither this Court, nor any branch of this government will consider the merits or fallacies of a religion. Nor will the Court compare the beliefs, dogmas, and practices of a newly organized religion with those of an older, more established religion. Nor will the Court praise or condemn a religion, however excellent or fanatical or preposterous it may seem. Were the Court to do so, it would impinge upon the guarantees of the First Amendment.

In short, the Court merely finds that the plaintiff's ordination of ministers, its granting of church charters, and its issuance of Honorary Doctor of Divinity certificates are not substantial activities which do not further any religious purpose. Furthermore, the facts

outlined supra reveal that the plaintiff requested, but did not require, free will offerings in performance of these activities.

It is THEREFORE ORDERED that the Plaintiff be and is entitled to a Federal Tax Exemption and to a refund of all moneys levied against by the defendant with interest thereon from the date of levy, March 19, 1970

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant's counterclaim be and is dismissed and the plaintiff is entitled to recover the reasonable costs of the suit herein

IT IS ALSO ORDERED that the plaintiff submit an appropriate judgment in accordance herewith.

Done and dated this 27th day of February, 1974

James F Battis..U.S.D.Ct.Judge

Above, and numerous other cases establish immunities of Appellant's CHURCH on the basis of Amendment 1 and above.

Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr. Edward Wayland, was ordained as Minister, according to the Quaker denomination, by GOD January 61 1936, Philadelphia (and man: both church and school at a later date)

The Church property LIENED by Appellees is accredited by the Commonwealth of Massachusetts as a CHURCH: which accreditation by a state must be honored by the U.S.A. as per constitution Art. 3-6; and has valid I.R.S. exemption number: D094E1N94-15999591.

All Appellees knew and were aware of this. AAAT/PFE.

One of the great objects of government is to protect the life, liberty and property of the individual citizen.
Chisholm v Georgia (16AmJur2d Const.Law sect.328+)

The U.S. is entirely a creature of the Constitution...
Marbury v Madison 1 Cranch 137(1803)
Reed v Covert 354 US 1,17
Geoffrey v Riggs 133 US 258,267
etc.etc. res judicata

There can be no sanction or penalty imposed upon one because of his exercise of Constitutional Rights.
Sherar v Cullen 481 F2d 496

Ordinarily it is sound policy to adhere to prior decisions, but this practice has quite properly never been a blind inflexible rule
Green v U.S. 356 US 165

(for, only litigants of a case are bound by that decision..if the court has violated no Const.rights)

Only the findings of Common Law Jury Trial per pais peers with right to determine facts and law (Georgia v Brailsford, Dall)1 (1794) can be cited; anything else is judiciary "making-law". However, this applies only to decisions...and not to Admitted/Averred complaints and pleadings)

Denial of Jury Trial is Denial of Due Process
16AmJur2d Const.Law: S.20,21,548-550+
47 AmJur2d JURY: S.20,21,47+,52,53,83+

The view has been taken that a court sitting without a jury, where there is a right to a jury trial which has not been waived, has no jurisdiction of the case. (S.20)

And that where a jury found the law was contrary they had the right to so decide./

U.S. v Dougherty 473 F2d 1113,1130
U.S. v Maylan 417 F2d 1002
etc.etc.etc.

The jury trial is a RIGHT
Kansas v Colorado 206 US 46
Julliard v Greenman 110 US 421
Hill v Philpott 445 F2d 144
etc.etc.etc.

Where rights secured by the Constitution are involved there can be no rule making or legislation which would abrogate them.

Ch.J.Earl Warren in Miranda

(Denied, or hampered, Jury Trial establishes Tyranny)
Jury is a safeguard against arbitrary law enforcement
Williams v Florida 90 S.Ct. 1893,1905

No arbitrary and unlimited power is vested in any department; such power is regarded as a condition subversive of the Constitution, and the chief characteristic and evil of tyrannical and despotic forms of government

16AmJur2d sect.212

In numerous cases the courts have held the property which is not the fruit or instrumentalities of a crime, or contraband, is not subject to seizure

Gould v U.S. 255 US 298

Boyd v U.S. 116 US 616,630

Mapp v Ohio 367 US 643

etc.etc.etc.

A LIEN is a seizure. The Appellees, in addition have failed to prove the CHURCH is a Crime or a Contraband, etc. In fact, the citations above prove and establish absolute ownership of Allodial Freehold..which is Church property. AAAT/PFE.

To bereave a man of life, or by violence to confiscate his estate, without accusation or trial would be so gross and notorious an act of despotism, as must at once convey the alarm of tyranny through the whole kingdom.

Blackstone, Commentaries Bk 1, Ch.1.pg 135

The LIEN is improper, illegal, unlawful, unconstitutional, and has never been authorized or issued by Constitutional Court or Judge that had been proven to have jurisdiction/authority to do so. AAAT/PFE.

By the law of the land is most clearly intended the general law; a law which proceeds upon inquiry, and renders judgment after trial. The meaning is that every citizen shall hold his life, liberty, property, and immunities under the protection of the general laws which govern society. Everything which may pass under the form of an enactment, is not, therefore, to be considered the law of the land.

Dartmouth College v Woodward 4 Wheat 518,581(1819)

(The Fourteenth Amendment) was intended to protect those rights of persons and property which by the Declaration of Independence were affirmed to be inalienable rights

Monongahela Navigation Co v U.S. 148 US 312,324(1892)

The term "due process of law" as used in the Federal Constitution has been repeatedly declared to be the exact equivalent of the "law of the land" as used in the Magna Carta..

16AmJur2d Sect.546+

And, the Magna Carta was based on, founded upon the Old and New Testaments: an interpretation of the Word of GOD.

Law of the Land means the common law..

State v Simmons 2 Spears 761,767(1884)

Taylor v Porter 4 Hill 140,146(1843)

etc.etc.etc.

The very essence of civil liberty certainly consists in the right of every individual to claim the protection of the laws whenever he receives an injury

Marbury v Madison 1 Cranch 137

This the lower courts, the various branches of government have stubbornly denied; and claimed fictional immunities for themselves which they could NOT prove nor substantiate. Thus, they further admitted to Felony and Treason.

A party's affidavit disqualifying the judge, who has (or has not) jurisdiction over a case, if the disqualification is valid, deprives the judge of further authority to act in the case.

20 AmJur2d Sec.150

It is established, res judicata, that the MINISTER pleadings are the equivalent of 2-3, or more witnesses; thus the pleadings are KFFIDAVIT. It must be noted that W.Arthur Garrity jr. was requested..then ORDERED..to get off the case for violation of Constitutional Rights, prior conflict, bias, etc. And, W.Arthur Garrity jr refused. Also, under Defective Amend.17-Senate, the judge had no legal, lawful, Constitutional jurisdiction/authority to act or to proceed. AAAT/PFE.

A failure to perform a promise (Breach of Contract, Breach of Covenant, Breach of Faith) the performance of which is a condition precedent, is an excuse for non-performance of the promise made by the other party.

17AmJur2d Contracts Sec.398+

Art.X Const. Mass.

Amend.4 U.S.Const.

Fails-to-Protect (72-3269-M) AAAT/PFE

The general rule is that an unconstitutional statute, though having the form and name of law, is in reality no law, but is wholly void and ineffective for any purpose, since unconstitutionality dates from the time of its enactment, and not merely from the date of the decision so branding it...No one is bound to obey an unconstitutional law and no courts are bound to enforce it.

16AmJur2d sec.177

In order for a statute to be legal, lawful, Constitutional it must pass Congress as prescribed by the Articles governing; must be passed by legal state representatives and senators, and signed into law by those Constitutionally mandated to do so. However, even if it conforms to the Constitution, under defective Amendment 17 senate (since 1915), an illegal, unlawful, unConstitutional Senate can not act on legislation of any "laws".

One of the most important cases of the last 50+ years is Jerome Daly v First National Bank of Montgomery, December 7, 1968. This case is ignored because a "Justice" presided over it...and the fact is minimized that it was the JURY that made the verdict. And it is the JURY OF Sovereign Citizens that determine the fact and the law. Thus...a Common Law Jury verdict is of far, far greater weight than any U.S.S.Ct. finding. For, Amendment 7 states that such finding cannot be challenged but under the Common Law. It has NOT been challenged; and is now ADMITTED, ADMITTED/AVERRED AS TRUE: PRIMA FACIE EVIDENCE:

Plaintiff's act of creating credit is not authorized by the Constitution and Laws of the United States, is unconstitutional and void, and is not a lawful consideration in the eyes of the law consideration in the eyes of the law to support any thing or upon which any lawful rights can be built. It has never been doubted that a Note given on a Consideration which is prohibited by law is void. The emission of Bills of Credit upon the books of private Corporations for the purposes of private gain is not warranted by the Constitution of the United States and is unlawful. See Craig v Mo. 4 Peters 912. This Court can tread only that path which is marked out by duty.

This trial established that the federal reserve note is not lawful, legal, Constitutional DOLLAR.

Another important case is that of Edward Wayland v U.S.A. No. 72-3269-M, 5/31/79. Here, the JURY found for the Plaintiff; and all complaint and pleadings were AAAT/PFE.

The pleadings proved Amend.16 is unconstitutional./

The pleadings proved the federal reserve note is not lawful, legal, Constitutional money; is NOT a dollar.

The pleadings proved that the United States of America has FAILED TO PROTECT the Sovereign Citizen as required by the Constitution.

The pleadings proved that the JURY is the final authority.

The pleadings proved that the U.S.A. has two sets of mathematics (a)when citizen wins judgment and (b)when the citizen is unable to defend himself. By the mathematics of (b), Appellant, Rt.Rev. Dr.Edward Wayland, is entitled to Jury award of 12,774,000+DOLLARS as of May 31, 1982...and which has NOT been paid. (Thus, Appellees are deadbeats) AAAT/PFE.

Thus, Appellees are not only in Contempt-of-the-Constitution, but also in Contempt-of-Court. To uphold the criminality of the Appellees is to become accessory.

X
ARGUMENT

Appellees admitted to being illegal, unlawful, unconstitutional and without jurisdiction/authority to file improper, illegal, unlawful, unconstitutional LIEN upon Christian Church. AAAT/PFE

Appellees admitted to Perjury, Felony, Treason, Anarchy, Outlaw....and Blasphemy. AAAT/PFE

W.Arthur Garrity jr refused, orally, and later in writing, to uphold the Constitution of the United States; and for violation of multiple Constitutional, Common Law, Theological Rights both W. Arthur Garrity jr and the U.S.C.A.1. lost jurisdiction/authority; thus their "findings" are null and void. AAAT/PFE

Appellees admitted to stealing 50,000 f.r.n. from Appellant's postoffice box; and that the \$12,774,000 jury verdict had not been paid...and would NEVER be paid. AAAT/PFE

Appellees AAAT/PFE that all their claims against Appellant ARE FALSE AND FRAUDULENT, without basis in fact or law. That the LIEN upon the Christian Church is FRAUD...in retaliation for 72-3269-M.

The Dept. Justice also AAAT/PFE that it was illegal, unlawful, unconstitutional and had NO jurisdiction/authority to appear in court or to file any pleadings. AAAT/PFE.

The courts have admitted that Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland, has been deprived unconstitutionally of right to JURY trial and numerous other rights guaranteed by the Constitution. But refuse to correct; thus establishing what not only have the courts lost jurisdiction/authority for denial of Constitutional Rights...but in so doing...THE COURTS, THEMSELVES HAVE BECOME UNCONSTITUTIONAL!! AAAT/PFE (see Art.X Const.Mass).

Jesus Christ did not come to win popularity contests. HE came with Mandate. Appellant presents the same Mandate to this court.

A

The final arbiter of controversies wherein the government is self-serving its own interests on all government-issue is by the Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity as mandated by the Constitution and Common Law Guarantees of JURY TRIAL, per pais peers...a determination by the county (of 12 Sovereign Citizens)...not by the government.

The government to determine on "government-issue" violates both the Constitution and the Common Law (Christian). The government is then acting in its own self-serving interests; and, by law the government cannot be litigant and in judgment of its own issues and interests. This violates Amendment 1, 4-10, 13, 14, etc.

FURTHERMORE: Amendment 1 establishes ABSOLUTE Church Rights, res judicata. Neither the government, nor the courts, nor the Common Law Jury can violate them. To do so is to destroy the Constitution. That is TREASON.

Instant case is grievance by Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland for violations of that ABSOLUTE Amendment 1 mandate.

What could be more clear than that?

And, where the Preamble, Amend.1, 9, 10; Declaration of Independence affirm that the Citizen is Sovereign such Breach-of-Contract by government releases all obligation from Citizen/and automatically Revokes Permission/Consent of Governed.

Sovereign Citizen, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland, demanded that W. Arthur Garrity jr requeira and get off the case. Said Garrity jr was, of course, instantly disqualified on his prior Anti- Constitution, Anti-Christian, Anti-Christ, record of performance. Even when W.Arthur Garrity jr was informed, and which he admitted in court was TRUE, that he was illegal, unlawful, unconstitutional under Amend.17-Senate, W.Arthur Garrity jr ignored the Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity mandate. It was eventually necessary to Sovereign Citizen discharge him.

Amendment 17 came out of Congress many votes short of the required Constitutional 2/3rds vote; and was illegally reatified by 3/4ths of the States...several of which, even at this date, are still illegal; never having been properly admitted into the Union. Thus, Amendment 17 was improperly signed into "law". And every Senate elected after 1915 is illegal, unlawful, unconstitutional. AAAT/PFE

On that basis alone W.Arthur Garrity jr had no jurisdiction/authority. AAAT/PFE. Nor did the Department of Justice (U.S.Atty) have jurisdiction/authority to act in any capacity in court.AAAT/PFE And: Appellees had NO jurisdiction/authority to file any LIEN, properly or improperly, upon CHURCH. AAAT/PFE. And, Appellees also ADMITTED that the fee had NOT been paid in CONSTITUTIONAL DOLLARS; thus perpetrating FRAUD by illegal "filing". AAAT/PFE.

NOTICE OF FELONY was filed with the Department of Justice, under the provisions of 5 U.S.C. demanding Grand Jury investigation. The Department of Justice, having failed to act, having refused-to-PROTECT, thus lost any and all illusion-of-jurisdiction.

For 23 years, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland had demanded that the Appellee, IRS, PROVE jurisdiction/authority. Appellee, IRS, has failed to prove. Thus, without jurisdiction/authority, the IRS and the lower courts have established Kangaroo-Court, a mockery of justice. AAAT/PFE.

And, the lower courts, U.S.D.Ct., U.S.C.A.1., in addition to being illegal (only common law jury trial per pais peers is legal) further violated mandated Constitutional Rights...any one of which is enough to create want of jurisdiction:

Fair Trial

Jury Trial before unbiased, lawful judge
Procedural Safeguards of Common Law Public Wrong
Equal Protection, Privileges, Immunities
Religious Rights (Amend.1); Unenumerated Rights (9); Reserved Rights(10); Unalienable Rights (Dec.Independence)
etc.etc.etc.

Refusal to remove LIEN from Christian Church established collusion/conspiracy under "color-of-law" (Burden-of-Proof upon gov't, and they failed to prove) violated: guaranteed Religious Rights; and FAILED TO PROTECT. This established Breach of Contract, Breach of Covenant, Breach of Faith.

AAAT/PFE

Such violations, of course, are not allowed in a REPUBLIC. Such are the acts of despotism and Tyranny (T.M.-SW7905.1) Such acts establish no claim upon Appellant, who has denied and revoked any possible permission/consent, for Appellees have NO jurisdiction/authority; and CAN NOT PROVE ANY. Assuming jurisdiction is NOT enough. Such acts thus void any fictional "validity" of the LIEN upon Church. AAAT/PFE/

"case-citation" by the government to establish "jurisdiction" is, of course, utter nonsense. The Judiciary does not have the authority to legislate. Only the Constitution is the Supreme Law, not quicksand "cases" and "statute". AAAT/PFE

Thus, the refusal of the lower courts to Redress Appellant's Grievances automatically REVOKES the CONSENT OF THE GOVERNED. No formal declaration is required...though it has been made, and accepted as valid. AAAT/PFE

Also, the LIEN upon Church is Sanction and Punishment. Dismissal without demanded Jury Trial is Sanction and Punishment. Nowhere in the Constitution of the United States can Sanctions and Punishments be applied without Due Process, Trial...and permission/consent of the Sovereign Citizen. This has not been done. AAAT/PFE

Appellees have established Criminality and a Criminal-Indent. They have admitted to entering court with Unclean Hands for multiple Constitutional Violations (listed above) and the violations not herein listed are not waived by omission; and are NOT entitled to any kind of relief, especially motions to dismiss....which further violate Appellant's Constitutional Rights by denying Redress; and, under Common Law Public Wrong is the equivalent, if not literally, of CONVICTING the accused in secret chambers WITHOUT TRIAL. That is Tyranny. AAAT/PFE.

And, where it is MINISTER involved, it is calling the MINISTER

a LIAR. The Body of Liberties, 1641 (via Art.6 U.S.Const) mandates that the courts are to HONOR Theological Judgments. All the pleadings by Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland, ARE Affidavit// Theological Judgment, and have NOT been successfully challenged nor denied; and thus stand Admitted, Admitted/Averred as TRUE and Prima Facie Evidence.

The lower courts have established they are Anti-Citizen, AntiChrist, and Anti-GOD. These are the prime and fundamental requisites of communism. Are the judges therein Communists? Whether they are (admittedly, that is) or not, tyranny and despotism and criminality is rampant therein.

For the lower courts to affirm the criminality of a government branch is to become criminal (Brandeis: Olmstead v U.S.) Such criminality is the mark of rogues and scoundrels and not of a sincere Constitutional government.

Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland, has not agreed to such a criminal government; and refuses to be coerced by mob-rule majority of Democracy while the Constitution guarantees REPUBLIC.
AAAT/PFE

B

Thus, not only are 26 U.S.C. and 28 U.S.C. unconstitutional for the above reasons, but they are also unconstitutional on their face and in their application (AAAT/PFE; and Burden-of-Proof) as they violate the Constitution, and deny/deprive of Rights mandated and guaranteed to the Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity.

Thus, for any branch of government to act under "color-of-law" unconstitutional STATUTE after having been proven it is unconstitutional (72-3269-M) is a deliberate act of TREASON. AAAT/PFE

Thus, the lower courts and Appellee have been charged with

- (a) Contempt of the Constitution
- (b) Felony, Treason, Anarchy, etc.
- (c) Illegal, unlawful, unConstitutional

AAAT/PFE

C

Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr. Edward Wayland, herein demands this Court ABIDE by the provisions of Amendment 1 ESTABLISHMENT and FREE EXERCISE clauses; or, in the alternative furnish, in lawful manner, Common Law Jury Trial per pais peers by Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity Jurors.

And that such trial be made without interference or harassment by Amend.17-Senate judge..or U.S.Attorney (Dept. Justice).

XI

SUBSTANTIAL REASONS

Denial of Constitutional Rights is Tyranny.

The attack upon the Christian Church is attack upon GOD.

No nation has ever survived without GOD.

William Penn, in 1681, stated bluntly that either the nation is governed by God or ruled by tyrants. It is, unfortunately, a sad truth that no tyrant recognizes his own tyranny. By 1975-standards, this nation has long since surpassed, outdone, and out-striped the tyranny of George III...and, those reasonable, ironically, do not recognize that it is Tyranny (20th century).

Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr. Edward Wayland, has the Guaranteed Amendment Right to Petition the government for Redress of Grievance, the Right to Speak, Right to Jury Trial, Right to Freedom of Religion, etc.etc.etc.

This is amplified by the Common Law (Christian not formerking's self-serving court) which are brought into instant case by Common Law Amendments 9 and 10, unenumerated and reserved Rights. And by Amendment 14, Declaration of Independence: unalienable Rights. Thus, under the Common Law and Constitution, Appellant has the Absolute, unalienable Right to Common Law Jury Trial per pais peers. Appellee have not only failed to disprove; but have defaulted.

And that under the Common Law all government-citizen litigation, regardless of "civil" labels, is Public-Wrong (Criminal). Thus, Appellant has the Absolute Unalienable Right to Due Process, Fair Trial, Impartial Judge, Procedural Safeguards, Jury Trial, etc. etc. AAAT/PFE.

Nor can any government-citizen litigation..Constitutionally.. be termed "equity". It remains a CRIMINAL trial...at law. AAAT/PFE.

The mandate of Amendment 7 is that decisions by a Common Law Jury cannot be reexamined (or challenged except under rules of Common Law..Christian..nor the whim of frightened or intimidated judges. see Jerome Daly), This establishes that the Common Law Jury verdict is superior to that of the U.S.S.Ct....and MUST be upheld by the U.S.S.Ct...being 12 Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity Jurors. Thus, any non-jury decision is not valid; and can NOT be judicially cited for any reason or purpose. Such purpose is, of course, improper. And NO Sovereign Citizen, even the litigant, can be bound by judge-made-law.

NONE of the alleged "citations" by Appellees are valid.

Nothing is more essential to the preservation of the Rule of Law than the preservation of those Rights and Immunities affirmed to the Individual, the Sovereign Citizen, by the Preamble, Bill-of-Powers-and-Rights of the Contract; the Constitution of the United States. These Rights and Immunities are of inestimable value. These Rights and Immunities are absolute and inalienable. These Rights and Immunities constitute a fortress against the onslaught of tyrants, rogues and scoundrels.

Without PROPERTY rights there are NO rights and immunities.
Without JURY TRIAL, there can be no property rights

This Jury Trial must be at Common Law per pais peers on all government-issues. Only by such a Jury can the Sovereign Citizen determine how he is to be governed...which "laws" he accepts, and

which "laws" he determines to be government usurpation, thus illegal, unlawful, unConstitutional. It is only by such Safeguards can the consent of the Sovereign Citizen be assured.

Not otherwise.

And it is established, res judicata, that when the government denies, deprives, or violates any Constitutional Right of the Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity, that government, division, branch, department completely and unutterably loses ALL jurisdiction/authority of the case and the individual. This is established by the Constitution, and by God's Law of Liberty and Justice. AAAT/PFE

The United States, being declared CHRISTIAN nation, no part of government has the jurisdiction/authority to attack, injure a CHRISTIAN Church. For, GOD does not attack, injure HIS own Church. This is absolutely mandated by the provisions of Amendment 1.

This mandate the Appellees have gleefully violated. In attacking a Christian Church, Appellees have declared themselves to be Anti-Christ...which cannot be sustained in a CHRISTIAN NATION

Woe unto them that decree unrighteous decrees and that write grievousness which they have prescribed.
To turn aside the needy from judgment and to take away from the poor of MY people that widows may be their prey and that they rob the fatherless.
And what will ye do in that day of visitation and in the desolation which shall come from far to whom will ye flee for help and where will ye leave your glory
Without ME they shall bow down under the prisoners and they shall fall under the slain. For all this HIS anger is not turned away but HIS hand is stretched out still. Isaiah 10:1-4

Woe unto you scribes and pharisees...
Woe unto you lawyers...

And if HE send HIS Wrath upon you, and upon your deeds, you are not they who can supplicate HIM; for you utter against HIS Righteousness great and powerful things.
To you there shall be no peace. Enoch 100:3

The Constitution is the guardian of the Covenant in the United States. It is Covenant. Breach of the Constitution is Breach of Contract, Breach of Covenant, Breach of Faith; for the government has FAILED TO PROTECT the Sovereign Citizen and REFUSED TO PROTECT the Christian Church.

Which is its ONLY legitimate function.

This nation, of course, is now on the brink of Armageddon for mocking GOD in such decisions as are so horribly illustrated in this appeal from the lower courts. For this case to have been brought to the U.S.S.Ct. is a shame and a disgrace and speaks poorly of the moral-tone of the lower courts.

Wherefore, this Court has no alternative but to remove the LIEN from Christian Church being attacked by the godless; or remand to a lawful court for Common Law Jury Trial per pais peers.

XII

CONCLUSION

No matter what the entire federal Judicial system may or may

not claim, every fact and law brought up on the Complaint and pleadings of Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland, IS Admitted, Admitted/Averred as TRUE...and can never be denied. That the U.S.D.Ct. and U.S.C.A.1. dismissed establishes only that neither court is concerned with TRUTH.

The Appellees had no jurisdiction/authority to put LIEN upon Christian Church; refusal to remove the Lien compounded the Criminal Actions with which they are charged. AAAT/PFE.

In a Christian Nation, no non-Christian, nor those doing the bidding of satan, can sit with impunity in judgment and assume jurisdiction/authority by usurpation in judgment of actions taken against the Christian Church.

The Nuremberg mandate is quite clear. The U.S.S.Ct. justices stated that no citizen is required to obey an evil law or evil order; in fact, must RESIST such evil. This is the same mandate of Scripture. No Christian is allowed to honor or to glorify that which is of satan. The Appellees have been so charged. AAAT/PFE

In addition, the denial of mandated and guaranteed Constitutional Rights of the Sovereign Citizen..which he RETAINED, via the Declaration of Independence, Preamble, Amends.9,10, result in complete TOTAL loss of jurisdiction/authority...by the various branches of government, as well as the lower courts. AAAT/PFE

Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland, was denied the Right to Jury Trial (on government-issue), a Right which he never surrendered; the numerous unenumerated, reserved, and inalienable Rights; together with the multiple Constitutional Violations listed above./

Such denials establish a Tyranny; and in violation of the mandate and guarantee of REPUBLIC. AAAT/PFE

Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland has, at all times, demanded proof that the relevant branches of government involved are legal, lawful, Constitutional. Including the U.S.D.Ct., U.S.C.A.1. All have failed to prove. Such failure establishes the LIEN upon Christian Church as FRAUD, Felony, Treason, Anarchy by the various Criminal groups. AAAT/PFE.

The greatest RIGHT that has been violated is:

THE RIGHT TO BE LET ALONE

In a Republic this is THE Prime Right.

An individual in a Republic is a sovereign majority of ONE; and cannot be compelled to obey the alleged majority of mob-rule "democracy" without his express permission/consent. Force and Coercion to attain and obtain compliance is NOT consent. VOLUNTARY means just that: VOLUNTARY.

That it has become necessary to appeal instant grievance to the U.S.S.Ct. is prima facie evidence that irresponsible, miniature Hitlers dominate the lower courts who have violated and continue to violate the Rights of Appellant. This is no accident. It did not "just happen". See T.M.-SW7905.1

All branches of government have thus lost any alleged jurisdiction/authority. The "findings" of the lower courts, being un-

unconstitutional are thus null and void as though they had never been made (AmJur2d Constitutional Law, Court, Jurisdiction, Jury, Taxpayer Action); and should this court refuse to redress grievance by refusing to docket or by dismissing in "memo" decision, then the government of the United States is Tyranny. Such violations qualify as Perjury, Felony, Treason, Anarchy, Outlaw. And are not the actions of a responsible, accountable government.

Plaintiff/Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland, has NEVER agreed to such Criminality. And, as Christian cannot be compelled to go against the Word of GOD. Rome fell because it tried force. Is it now the turn of the United States?

It is WRITTEN, both in Scripture and in Body of Liberties, the word of the minister is equivalent to 2-3, or more witnesses. Therefore, all filings by Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland are Affidavit, whether so designated, or not. And, as Affidavit, under the provision of Acts, Matt.18:15-17, etc. all are Theological Judgment.. which remains in effect as Theological Judgment regardless of what satan-inspired courts or branches of government claim.

The government is not required to enforce such judgment (Body of Liberties, 1641); but IS required, by law, to HONOR..and must honor such Theological Judgment. The lower courts Dismissals and Denials of Guranteed Constitutional Rights is the most shoking

DISHONOR

For no man can DISHONOR, or call a Minister a LIAR (by dismissal) with impunity, and without being called to judgment for it.

Refusal to docket, in this court, or to hear is further DISHONOR. For, such refusal is that of a government Anti-Justice, AntiChrist.

No "civil" action, or inaction can vacate Theological Judgment. That which is bound on earth and is bound in heaven. It cannot be loosed by "civil" frivolities or fol de rol.

Thus, Instant Case, and all prior briefs, petitions, motions, writs, pleadings, demands, claims (between 500-1000), each being and remain AFFIDAVIT of Minister, regardless of court semantics, is and remains, for all time, Theological Judgment.

Jesus Christ came with a MANDATE..and this Court must abide by it, or face the Wrath of GOD at HIS Judgment.

THEOLOGICAL JUDGMENT

Approximately 1636 this nation was dedicated to GOD in Shipboard Covenant; and affirmed numerous times since: at the Constitutional Convention, numerous courts, and branches of government.

In 1641, the first written law, the Body of Liberties (which has since become known as the Mass. Magna Carta) established and guaranteed FREEMAN, Allodial Freehold, Jury Trial, Due Process... and a hundred, more or less, other rights. Many of these found their way directly into the Constitutions of the United States, others remained as part of the Common Law; but, the Entire Body of Liberties is valid under Article 6 of the U.S.Constitution.

One of the most important changes the Body of Liberties inaugurated was that between government and church. Formerly, the government was required to enforce Theological Judgments. The courts were no longer required to enforce. However, the courts, and other branches of government, were required to HONOR all such judgments.

To dismiss, to deny Constitutional Rights is, of course, Dishonor.

Thus, under the provisions of the Body of Liberties, the authority of Scripture, and as Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity, Rt. Rev. Dr. Edward Wayland, ordained by GOD 1/6/36, former scientist on Manhattan Project, and thus qualified herein establishes this as Theological Judgment:

1. Title 26 U.S.C. is illegal, unlawful, unconstitutional; and no Christian is bound to observe it.
2. Title 28 U.S.C. is illegal, unlawful, unconstitutional; and no Christian is bound to observe it.
3. The I.R.S. (or D.D.T.), together with all alleged "agents" is illegal, unlawful, unconstitutional; totally without jurisdiction/authority; and no Christian is bound to obey any of its "orders"
4. Furthermore, the I.R.S. has proven to be of satanic-demonic activity and origin (T.M.-SW7905.1). It is infamous (as defined by the U.S. Constitution) and in infamy is attempting to force upon Christians the Mark-of-the-Beast: Number 666. This 666 is forbidden to all Christians in REVELATION. And is the precursor of evils, Armageddon, Judgment-to-come in the End Time.
5. 666 is a horror and an abomination to all Christians.
6. The Department of Justice is illegal, unlawful, unconstitutional, and has no jurisdiction/authority to act in any capacity in any court. It has ratified, and is accessory to the Mark-of-the-Beast. Thus, it, too, is a horror and abomination.
7. W. Arthur Garrity Jr., and those of the U.S.C.A. are illegal, unlawful, unconstitutional; and none of the "orders" issued are thereby valid...since 1915... As ratifying and accessory to Government-Crimes, and to the Mark-of-the-Beast, all therein are further horror and abomination. 666.
8. The LIEN upon Christian Church is FRAUD; false and fraudulent documentation (a crime); and is thus null and void.

All that is required of the Minister is to Warn-the-Wicked they are in danger of THE Judgment; and to present his witness. Under GOD's Free Will, the decisions made by those warned is personal and immediate. The Minister is NOT required to prove GOD. Only Satan demands a myriad of "proofs"...which mean nothing, convince nobody, obscures justice, and creates the Legalisms that Jesus Christ cursed so Wrathfully.

But Jesus Christ also Mandated that the believers are not to be yoked to those who have REJECTED GOD.

GLOSSARY

Article 6: mandates that ALL state and federal uphold the Constitution as the Supreme Law of the Land. Violations, in any capacity are, of course: Perjury, Felony, Treason, Anarchy, Outlaw.

Admit, Admit/Aver: Whatever is admitted, or averred by failure to timely answer, or completely deny is TRUE. This is not for a particular case, but for ALL time. For, GOD does not allow anything to be TRUE...temporarily. It is either TRUE.. or it is false.

AFFIDAVIT (and thereby Theological Judgment); It Is Written that the word of the minister is equal to 2-3, or more, witnesses. This establishes Affidavit; and, as Affidavit, is Theological Judgment.'

Amendment 17-Senate (election senators): votes short of required 2/3rds in congress; not ratified by 3/4ths of the LEGAL states; improperly signed into "law". Since 1914-1915, the Senate is illegal, unlawful, unConstitutional;;;and all functions thereof are illegal, unlawful, unConstitutional!!!

Common Law: Founded upon Christian Scripture; is divided into Private-Wrong (Civil) in litigation between citizens; and Public-Wrong (Criminal) in all litigations wherein government is one of the litigants..regardless of case-label..at which point all Procedural Safeguards Apply; including Burden-of Proof upon government.

(Thus, instant case is CRIMINAL, and Appellant is actually DEFENDANT against oppressive government practices. He is entitled to all the Procedural Safeguards..and has been swindled out of them).

Constitution: The government is not the Constitution; the Constitution is not the government. With the help of GOD, a good Constitution was created for a Christian Nation..and politicians hired to act-as-the-government. This "government" has long since departed from the mandates and guarantees of the Constitution. This nation has a Constitution, but does not have a Constitutional government.

Contract: The Constitution of the U.S. is the contract between the sovereign Citizen, Master (third-party non-signer) and the government he has hired as Servant. Included are provisions that when the government breaches Contract/Covenant/Faith, the Sovereign Citizen is released from Contract, and can FIRE his Servant.

All violations of Constitutional Guarantees and Mandates are Breach of Contract with the Sovereign Citizen, Breach of Covenant with GOD, and Breach of Faith with GOD and man.

Fails-to-Protect: The ONLY legitimate function of government is to protect the Sovereign Citizen; and the only legitimate function of the judiciary is to protect the Sovereign Citizen from oppressive government practices. (Amend.4,9,10)

FRAUD: In addition to the legal definitions of FRAUD, any and all violations of Constitution, Common Law, Theological Rights by the government is FRAUD, misrepresentation, despotism, and tyranny.

GOD'S LAW OF LIBERTY & JUSTICE: Sometimes called the Common Law, the Law of the Land, the Law of Conscience; and is founded upon Scripture, not upon man-made "law". It is not "case" law; nor that of the former king's self-serving courts, regardless of names applied.

JUDGES: In donning the robes of office, the person ceases to be a politician and becomes a minister FOR the administration of God's Law of Liberty & Justice. And, in such position, GOD requires much from him. Those who remain politicians are thus betrayers, Judas Iscariots; for GOD has ordered the administration of HIS Justice...not that of man..and HIS Wrath is fearsome.

GOD has given to man...Free Will.

Does the government presume to be greater than GOD?

Jurisdiction/authority is a grant from the Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity to the government for a specific purpose; and is NOT for any government-attempt to establish government-grant privileges. Abuses, usurpations of that jurisdiction/authority are, of course, negation of all.

OATH OF PURGATION: Each Christian has the RIGHT TO PURGE HIMSELF before GOD of all iniquities, unrighteousness, both real and those falsely charged against him. This is acknowledged and affirmed in several federal courts. And, such Purgation cannot be challenged...without damnation to the challenger.. for such is challenging the forgiveness of GOD. It just isn't done. Together with Purgation, the Christian can then Charge-to-Ordeal his accusers (which has been done, and who have defaulted). Thereby the Accusers have admitted to Perjury, Felony, Treason, Anarchy, Outlaw.

SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY: Government has established that the Sovereign is immune from suit without express permission/consent. But who is the Sovereign? The government? The Preamble, Amendments 9,10, affirm that the Citizen, as Master is Sovereign; and thus is in Sovereign Immunity. The government, as servant, is thus NOT the Sovereign, and cannot claim any immunities. For those belong to the Sovereign Citizen. Thus: Give unto Caesar that which belongs to Caesar applies only to the Sovereign Citizen; for no servant can be greater than the master. Government is SERVANT. Thus, violations of the Constitution, also violate the WORD of GOD.

THEOLOGICAL JUDGMENT: Jesus Christ passed HIS commission (Acts of the Apostles) to HIS Disciples and to those who followed. It is further granted by the provisions that "what is bound on earth is bound in heaven; and what is loosed on earth is loosed in heaven."

No government can, with impunity, violate or interfere with such judgment.

THEOLOGICAL MANDATE: This government has a CONSTITUTION. Abide by it or be declared in Treason and Anarchy.

T.M.-SW7905.1: The secret declaration of war upon the Sovereign Citizen, May 1954 by the government of the United States via dirty tricks C.I.A.

666

This is the number of the abominable BEAST referred to in Revelation.

It is the number on Treasury Department (IRS) badges: 666

Checks accidentally released from the Treasury Dept. are: 666

Many passports are now numbers: 666

Laser-beam codes are now numbered: 666

On 1040 forms (several years) are/were numbered: 666

The number, and the BEAST, are an abomination; and an unpardonable sin of unrighteousness.

NO Christian is allowed to accept the number of the BEAST, 666, without the danger of damnation.

So Sayeth the Lord GOD.

Rt Rev. Edward Wayland *pro se*
forma pauperis
Sovereign Citizen; Preamble. 1, 9, 10
Sovereign Immunity; " " "
Former scientist Manhattan Project
Affidavit//Theological Judgment

RT. REV. EDWARD WAYLAND
P. O. BOX 283
HAVERHILL, MA 01830

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that service of the foregoing JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT will be made promptly upon Appellees upon docketing of instant case; and, should the U.S.S.Ct. refuse the Right to Petition, shall not be served; but shall stand for all time as Admitted, Admitted/Averred as TRUE::Prima Facie Evidence.

Rt Rev. Edward Wayland *pro se*
forma pauperis

August 31, 1982

RT. REV. EDWARD WAYLAND
P. O. BOX 283
HAVERHILL, MA 01830

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
WASHINGTON, D.C.

Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland

against

Internal Revenue Service et al

*
*
*
*
*
*

No. 82-5488

RECEIVED

OCT 14 1982

Office of the Clerk
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

SUPPLEMENT ADDITION

Comes now the Victim of oppressive government practices, Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity (Preamble; Amend. 9, 10; Declaration Independence) Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland, Christian Minister with SUPPLEMENT ADDITION to all Complaints, Pleadings, Appeals.

The government is laboring under the delusion it is Sovereign. It is NOT. Nor does it have permission/consent of the governed, Rt. Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland, for any Anti-Christ rulings, orders, dictates, etc.

Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland has repeatedly charged FRAUD, want of Jurisdiction/Authority. And NO charge has ever been answered, nor denied.

"The I.R.S., S.S.A., etc. having asserted jurisdiction must plead it."

McNutt v Gen.Motors 56 S.Ct. 780

Griffin v Matthews 310 F.Supp. 341

"If not pleaded, can be noticed judicially--unless challenged, and, or proof to contrary."

Korfer, Common Law Pleadings 139-144 (1969)

Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland has repeatedly CHALLENGED; and has produced PROOF of his charges.

"Once jurisdiction is challenged, the I.R.S. or any other alleged branch, or quasi-branch, of government claiming jurisdiction has Burden-of-Proof of that jurisdiction."

Thomson v Gaskill 62 S.Ct. 673

Nor is Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland restricted or restrained as to timeliness, etc. of his pleas and charges:

"Plaintiff can plead lack of jurisdiction at any stage."

American Fire Ins. v Pinn 71 S.Ct. 543

Nor can the I.R.S., nor any alleged branch, or quasi-branch, of government usurp, deny, nor distort any Constitutional Right to or for any government self-serving interests.

"It is one thing to give a corporation a status (via state-grant-privilege), and another to take from a citizen the Rights given him by the Constitution." Doctor v Harrington 25 S.Ct. 355, 357 (* Rights in Constitution are reserved, unalienable...not "given")

The government contention that the Social Security number is a government-grant license; and thereby has converted the Constitution RIGHTS into stage-grant-privilege, and thus establishing Citizen-Grant jurisdiction to any and all branches, quasi-branches of government that have illegal, unlawful, unConstitutional access to that social security number, without permission/consent... implied or assumed citizen-grant is tyrannical FRAUD...

In 1973, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland, revoked all implied, assumed, usurped, alleged jurisdiction/authority/permission/consent of the Social Security number in suit against the Social Security Administration; wherein he made attempt (without reference to theological status) to remove himself from that system, and to recover sums paid in. Although the court allegedly found against him, the case has NEVER BEEN legally, lawfully, Constitutionally closed because:

- (a) judge was illegal, unlawful, unConstitutional under defective Amend.17-Senate.
- (b) U.S.Attorney was illegal, unlawful, unConstitutional under defective Amend.17-Senate.
- (c) Only Common Law Jury Trial per pais peers is lawful, legal Constitutional determinant of issues. None ever held.
- (d) The Court violated Constitutional Rights; and thus lost any and all alleged jurisdiction/authority.
- (e) Breach-of-Contract, -Covenant, -Faith.

THUS, no branch, quasi-branch of government has jurisdiction/authority/permission/consent to claim the social security number as being Citizen-grant of jurisdiction.

FURTHERMORE:

NO Christian can be compelled to violate Amend.1 ESTABLISHMENT and FREE EXERCISE clauses (in addition to prohibitions of Scripture) to allow the social security number to be valid or binding upon him:

The Mark of the Beast, the Number of the Beast is prohibited in Revelation (New Testament). And what is the Number of the Beast? 666

How is that number arrived at?

The Number is: 666, Area Code, Social Security Number arranged in this order...6 Area Code 6 S.S.No. 6. The U.S.gov't has authorized an Area Code, the S.S.A. has insisted upon such numbers; and the I.R.S. is strongly promoting 666.

The Lord GOD has strongly stated "Woe unto them by whom the offences cometh"..showing that HE will not forgive.

For years, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland was imbued with the fiction that government is honest...for, wasn't government of-GOD? Of course...but corruption is NOT.

SUPPLEMENT ADDITION

No governing body is self-supporting, but rather, it must depend upon those it governs to contribute to it's support, not in accordance with the governing body's concept of their degree of importance to those they represent, but according to the Citizen's concept of the importance of government.

For a government to demand of the governed...under threat of punishment...is a direct violation of the principle of freedom. It is rather, the Sovereign Citizen's individual right to demand of- and from-government.

When a government becomes strong, at the expense of its people, then threatens its Citizens with violence, to have its way, that government should be cast out before it destroys the people it governs. To threaten it's people with violence, or to use violence against them for self-serving power, is a cancer; it is a madness on the part of such a government. Such a threat or action is, in effect the same as an individual braking his own leg, or beating himself with a club, because his body rebels against abuse from the "brain".

The purpose of a government is to serve its people; it is not for the people to serve government.

If a government serves its people, not according to what the professional mercenaries (politicians) in the government decide is best for the people, but according to what the Citizen has decided, that government need have no fear of rebellion.

It is not the right of a government to confiscate the property of the Sovereign Citizen, and redistribute that property according to its own whim: regarding those whom it favors, and excluding those whom it does not. The government does not own the wealth of the nation. The people do. But the land is not a part of a nation's wealth, nor the possession of the government. The land belongs to the Earth, and thus to GOD; and no government and no people can ever own it. GOD has placed the land in-trust to those who serve it and make use of it intelligently. No person, or group of people, should be allowed to lay claim to land they do not intend to use, or intend to abuse; nor should they be allowed to monopolize that which does not belong to them.

Parents betray their children by teaching them government-sponsored false doctrines. Brothers betray brothers, and look upon each other as enemies under the confusions of government-programs of Divide-and-Conquer. Husbands betray the mates that GOD has given them under the fraudulent example of government sponsored ESTABLISHMENT of "humanism" as the government RELIGION. (Brandeis: Olmstead v U.S.; gov't as example; teacher of corruption) Children dishonor their parents and do not respect the teachings of GOD, because they "KNOW" they have been betrayed and led into captivity by the Judas Iscariots in government.

Every thought of the people is of evil; and a lust of violence fills their days: because they are angry with themselves, and blame each other for their suffering..listening not to those who declare that the Anti-Whrist is in the seat of government. There is no peace on Earth, because there is no peace in the hearts of the Citizens; and they have become lost in a web of words meant

-36-

SUPPLEMENT ADDITION

meant to deceive and to enslave.

The state takes away all they possess, and permits them to retain only those things which they are prepared to buy and buy and buy over and over again; and then, only for as long as they continue to buy this privilege to possess..which once was an absolute RIGHT, and is now government-grant"privilege".

Out of what little they are permitted to keep, they may give a portion to a house that is supposedly dedicated to GOD; and the state demands tribute in exchange for their right to maintain a House of GOD.

This is an abomination and blasphemy.

This is the abomination of the Beast: 666.

NO.

No government can assume jurisdiction/authority for oppressive government practices without the permission/consent of the governed. That is GOD's Law of Liberty and Justice.

Furthermore; it is established, res judicata, that the Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity cannot be compelled to abide by any statutes, legalisms, or so-called Administrative Law, when he, as a Christian abides by a HIGHER LAW...the CHRISTIAN Common Law (attached).

And, this is the LAW that, as Christian Minister, and both as Common and Theological Law, is that which Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland upholds and abides by as being valid and binding upon the Citizen, and the Government who, as servant represents him.

Rt Rev Dr Edward Wayland *pastor*
pro se
forma/pauperis
Sovereign Citizen; Preamble.A.9,10
Sovereign Immunity; " " "
Affidavit//Theological Judgment

RT. REV. EDWARD WAYLAND
P. O. BOX 283
HAVERHILL, MA 01830

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that service of the foregoing SUPPLEMENT ADDITION has been made this 10th day of October 1988 upon the Appellee/Defendant by depositing a copy thereof in the United States mail postage prepaid addressed to U.S.Atty, office Dept.Justice, Attorney General, Washington D.C.

Rt Rev Dr Edward Wayland *pastor*
pro se
forma/pauperis

RT. REV. EDWARD WAYLAND
P. O. BOX 283
HAVERHILL, MA 01830

MEMORANDUM

(THE COMMON LAW
(GOD'S LAW OF LIBERTY & JUSTICE
(THE LAW OF CONSCIENCE

Seditious Libel is the alleged Divine Right of Kings to prosecute as traitors all those who question or criticize the government, its actions, or its officials.

It is indeed unfortunate that the Federal Government chose to invoke the charge of "Seditious Libel", allegedly under the common law against the critics. Under "common law" Seditious Libel, criminal prosecution for allegedly traitorous criticism of government was vigorously prosecuted. The alarm was sounded throughout the infant republic that under the common law, the door would be opened to other "common law" of the hated king; that would violate and destroy the brave new Republic.

In order to save the Republic, the Federal Courts declared Seditious Libel was unconstitutional on its face and in its application, and where it was alleged to be founded upon the common law---rejected common law as valid and binding upon the Federal Courts (with few, limited exceptions).

However, Seditious Libel was not the common law. At no time was the truth advanced in argument the horrendous fact that Seditious Libel was dredged up from the hell-on-earth: the infamous Star Chamber---and the other semantically mislabeled "common law" courts of-the-king, by-the-king, and for-the-king...on ALL issues, and most emphatically on the king's-issue---were all KING'S LAW; and NOT the Common Law!

Thus, the Federal Government made the mistake of throwing out the King's Law in the misapprehension of Common Law; thus setting the stage for resurrection of Seditious Libel (under other titles & names) without the defense of the Common Law available to the victim.

For: the Common Law, the Law of Conscience, came, not from the courts under the king's control, but from the Magna Carta, 1215A.D. The Magna Carta: the first written (on record) attempt to translate God's Law of Liberty & Justice into guidelines for the government. Thus, being of Divine Origin, the Magna Carta became the target of all self-serving pagans.

In great fear of the king's "common law", the Federal government thus rejected ALL Common Law...which it had NO authority or jurisdiction to do; and, in so doing established a Pandora's Box of contradiction:

The Constitution of the United States was founded on the Magna Carta and admitted Common Law via the Constitutions of Massachusetts, Pennsylvania, and Virginia

In denying the Common Law, the Federal government was thus denying the Constitution: for the Constitution can be interpreted only by its foundation....the Common Law. To establish a new "common law" (via case etc) is to repeat the tragedy & danger of rebuilding a self-serving king's "common law" and thus nullifying the blood spilled on a Revolution. This was partly averted by Amendments 9 & 10 (stilled by satanic intent) of unenumerated, reserved, and inalienable rights that come from God, not governments.

And, thereby, the Constitution itself brought the government-rejected Common Law right back to the Federal government....

WHETHER THEY ACKNOWLEDGED IT OR NOT.

For, denial of the COMMON LAW is prohibited by Article 6(3).

But a dangerous loop-hole remained. The Federal government was bound (in such states) by the State Common Law & "common law"; thus bringing back the danger of self-serving king's mandates by whim and fancy.

Rejecting Common Law at the Federal level was, thereby, not a clear-cut decision (unless it was done deliberately for self-serving and hidden reasons). Thus, being subject to the State Common Law & "common law" served only to increase tension, confusion & conflict between the Federal and State governments...and was NOT resolved by the Civil War.

There is much confusion about just what the Common Law is. This is deliberately done by those who want to paganize the government. To state that the Common Law is not the king's "common law" is almost self-explanatory; that it is partly published as the

Honorable and venerable Magna Carta...this knowledge opens "the door" to sudden understanding.

The Common Law is the ROCK:- It is God's Law of Liberty and Justice, which is brought to the nations as the still, quiet voice of Conscience. God's Law of Liberty and Justice!

The people were given a choice:

to live (a) under God's Law
or (b) by God's Grace.

They chose the Law; and thus set the pattern for the future nations, of which the United States is but one, though Blessed for many years: Exodus XX:3-17

THE COMMANDMENTS (I-IV Man's relation::God)

I. Thou shalt have none other gods before Me.

This Commandment is not so simple as it appears. Whosoever has placed a political party, the orders of higher-eschelon (contrary to God's purpose), whosoever upholds the denial of God's Law of Liberty & Justice and right to petition for redress of grievance has thus set up other gods: a political party, a government, the pursuit of power, money, or other "things" has established false gods that he worships: power, mammon, idolatry, cravings of the flesh, anti-God superstitions.

For, either God is served...

or he is not; and anything that displaces God is idolatry.

II Thou shalt not make unto thee a graven image, nor the likeness of any form that is in heaven above, or that is in the earth beneath, or that is in the water upon the earth; thou shalt not bow down thyself unto them nor serve them; for I the Lord thy God am a jealous God, visiting the iniquity of the fathers upon the children, upon the third and upon the fourth generation of them that hate Me; and showing mercy unto thousands, of them that love Me and keep My commandments.

Break the commandments and be in danger of the Judgement! That is the COMMAND of God. However, he offers Promise.

Idolatry is not the only abomination. The man who places his job beyond the command of God is in idolatry. Whosoever worships the State Seal, engravings on pieces of paper, graven images, his "superiors" in government, etc. is thus worshiping false idols.

By the New Testament: every Christian is a priest or minister ordained, not of man but of God by Jesus Christ. Thus each is a servant of God, and whatsoever is done unto the Servant is done unto God.

In direct opposition to the ministry of God is the "structure" of government, built on a priestism...an elevation of ritual and

and ordinances place into undue prominence the "will" of man rather than the Will of God. Thus such priestism interferes with, and interposes between man and God. Thus interferes with God's demand that man produce fruit.

An example of horrible idolatry is the new cult of "humanitarianism", a man-substitute for worship and obedience to God, which comes only from the great deceiver, not from God...and those who profess this cult are in idolatry, for it is a counterfeit, not God's word.

III Thou shalt not take the name of thy Lord thy God in vain, for the Lord will not hold him guiltless that taketh His name in vain.

The common assumption is that this means frivolity, jokes in bad taste, hypocrisy, blasphemy. This is not so, it is merely a surface symptom.

All things come from God. Governments come from God. But corruption of government does NOT come from God. And whosoever aids or causes to be corrupted those things and governments that come from God has taken the name of the Lord in vain, and will not be held guiltless. That is the Word of God! It is not necessary to mouth though the mouthings are evil, the actions are an abomination in the eyes of God.

Article 6(3) mandates that the state & federal governments uphold the Constitution of the United States. It is plain, simple, and blunt. This is the Constitution, and the Common Law. Whosoever refuses to uphold the Constitution (which came from God, of course) is Judging instead of administering God's Law of Liberty & Justice, which he was given in trust to do, and thus betrays his trust, corrupts that which is from God, and, of course, comes under Judgement.

IV Remember the Sabbath day, to keep it holy. Six days shalt thou labour, and do all thy work; but the seventh day is a Sabbath unto the Lord thy God: in it thou shalt not do any work, thou, nor thy son, nor thy daughter, thy man-servant, nor thy maid-servant, nor thy cattle, nor thy stranger that is within thy gates: for in six days the Lord made heaven and earth, the sea, and all that in them is, and rested the seventh day; wherefore the Lord blessed the Sabbath day and hallowed it.

Therein is God's mandate that tithing of property and material things, though important in His eyes, are minor in relation to the tithing of time to the Lord, God. It is so important that He blessed and hallowed the tithing of time, for God well knew that both He and man would leave the Sabbath enriched beyond the wildest dreams.

Man was ordained to work/but also to honor God. There is no man who can fulfill all his work, even seven days a week, alone by his own efforts. It is only by tithing his time does he receive the

power and the lightening of his burden so that it never exceeds his capability. But the tithing of time is most important and urgent.

For herein man receives his inspiration from God, and thus communes into integrity and justice. For the man that dishonors God can in no way faithfully administer God's Law. Which, of course results in the degradation of humanity. Justice Brandeis, though he does not refer to the Law, warns of this in Olmstead, a warning that has been disregarded these 50+years, but which is now self-evident.

For evil never rests, nor considers the sanctity of the Sabbath.

The choice for evil is of free will; and God will not interfere. But he does warn in the parable of the wheat-and-the-tares that the tares, which produce no fruit for God, will be allowed to grow in the field with the wheat lest attempt to remove them will damage a few innocent wheat..that is, until the harvest at which time the tares will be separated, bound into bundles and cast into the furnace.

This is the Judgement; this is the Word, the Promise of God.

V. (Relation Man::Man 5-9)

Honor thy father and thy mother; that thy days may be long upon the land which the Lord thy God giveth thee.

What God is to the adult::so the parents are to the child.

Honor is more than obedience, though obedience is the first step. Honor is high ideals and more, which cannot be learned by rebellion against parent and God. No man can honor self, nor God, nor be blessed and hallowed without honor for his parents first.

Without honor...the family dies

Without family...the nation dies

It is that simple.

So that when a judge, in his official capacity of minister to the Law of God, a servant to God's Law of Liberty and Justice, does not uphold the Constitution...he is in rebellion...and no matter how he attempts to justify by false rationalization, he dishonors his father and mother, and thus dishonors his covenant with God. Though he labels his 180° shift and sanctifies his unholy rebellion by relying on, and citing, lawless law, the product of pagan deceipts rather than Christian truths, he remains a Pharisee, whom Jesus Christ warned angrily, and repeatedly.

VI Thou shalt not kill

Cain slew Abel because Abel was righteous, and Cain was not. But herein is the injunction against more than the physical act of killing. Whosoever denies justice, and wounds, torments the grievd with lawless laws so that the grievd questions God... that man has committed murder.

The consequences of corruption is murder, for though the body is alive, the soul is slain. And the Soul is from God...with the express mandate to bear fruit for God.

Whoever denies the Word of God has murdered himself.

For, in these respects there is no unintentional killing. Having heard the Word of God and rejected it is deliberate, and there can be nothing less than guilt, a guilt of murder.

The death of a worker to put profit in pocket is murder.

And the denial of justice in the court is murder. One does not have to be angry with his brother, the mere denial of justice in order to uphold the political entity rather than the Constitution is murder. And that man shall not be held guiltless. For, whatsoever jeopardizes the Soul is murder.

VII Thou shalt not commit adultery

This commandment covers more than physical relationship.

Those who add to..or subtract from the Word of God have committed adultery. For, it is by these means that is brought the destruction of family, society and nation, the destruction of which is one of the most serious crimes against God's Law.

Adultery is false weight, false representation, false government, false money, false justice; for whatsoever denies that ALL things come from God, has subtracted from the Word of God.

The decay of Assyria, Greece, Babylon, Rome; and in our day of France, Britain, Spain...and now the United States both then and now is founded upon adultery..censorship (direct & indirect) is adultery; sloppy workmanship, etc. etc. both deliberate, and in retaliation, is adultery.

This is the chief weapon of the great deceiver: the counterfeit of God's love....thus adultery in every phase of living. The establishment of "priestism" in government is one of the greatest triumphs of adultery by the great deceiver, and has subverted a Christian government into pagan-worship.

VIII THOU SHALT NOT STEAL

All things come from God.

Property is held in trust from God.

Rights are held in trust from God.

Those in governments are trustees of God's Law of Liberty and Justice; and as trustees they are held accountable. The Word of God specifically commands: when God gave a sum of money to his servants...and rebuked the one who violated His trust.

The most frequently misunderstood Word is: "Give unto Caesar that which belongs to Caesar. As ALL things come from God, nothing belongs to Caesar (except that which the Christian is willing to tithe to Caesar because he is the Trustee of God's Law of Liberty and Justice). That which Caesar claims is only in trust, and he must prove his trusteeship to God. And when Caesar demands, takes, seizes under threat, he is stealing, not from the Christian, but from God, and is thus a false trustee.

The most horrible fruit of that kind of action is the reaction. Corruption always begins at the top by example. Justice Brandeis so warned in *Olmstead*. But, corruption being what it is, those most corrupt are the least aware of it.

Rebellion is the root cause, no matter how rationalized, government rebelling against the government as commanded by God's Law of Liberty and Justice.

Whoever denies justice is stealing

Whoever denies the rights of property, Constitutional Rights is stealing.

Whoever seizes the fruits of labour by false money, by inflation, corruption, the usury of false money, false laws that claim jurisdiction over person, property, usurp and create tyrannies, forbidden communism/fascism and is guilty of stealing.

Fraud, false representation, false-weights, measures, swindles, false justice, false imperialism, treason (disguised) in government, unjust seizures in the name of taxes, and all else that ravage, destroy and prevent the harvesting of the fruit God has planted upon the Earth...all is stealing.

Many years ago, while waiting for the doctor (M.D.) who was the director of an important government department I asked him, as a wealthy psychiatrist of many years experience, just what, if any, root cause of the mental distress he treated could be a common source.

"one word" he said. "Greed"

But even he was wrong. for greed is but a symptom. I believed him for many years until I discovered that the twin brother of Greed was: Fear.

Neither Greed nor Fear come from God.

The most obvious and public facet of government, today, is the shameless exhibition of both Greed and of Fear.

Why?

Without God's Blessing, the Revolution would have failed. Who can deny this? Whoever does is not a Christian, but is a Pagan, of the children-of-satan, a pharisee, a sadducee. With God's blessing the nation prospered far beyond the abilities of unaided man.

But then, the government began to oppress and to steal from its people.

Late in 1979, early 1980 God removed his blessing for the nation had long since ceased to be a Christian nation. The Christians are now the minority in the nation their fathers developed. There are now neo-christians, false cults in the name of religion.. and now the nation is under the Judgement of God.

So was Sodom,

So was Gomorrha

So was Ninevah. But Ninevah was spared; God can be merciful.

THOU SHALT NOT STEAL

IX Thou shalt not bear false witness against thy neighbors

For, bearing false witness you are judging, and so shall be judged. With what measure ye mete, it shall be measured unto you.

False testimony,..which is false to Constitution/Common Law.. but true to false statute;

the denial of justice under God's Law of Liberty and Justice;
denial of the truth (direct/indirect) of the Word of God;
the creation of false impression, imputation of false motive, slander, libel;

For, whatsoever you do to the least of God's children you do to God---thus false witness against neighbor is false witness against God;

Judge not, that ye shall not be judged, For with what judgement ye judge, ye shall be judged;

All else is bearing false witness. Man is not to judge, he is to administer God's Law of Liberty and Justice, especially if he is a trustee in that capacity. For when he betrays his trust he bears false witness, and will not be held guiltless.

Thou shalt not covet thy neighbor's house, thou shalt not covet thy neighbor's wife, nor his manservant, nor his maidservant, nor his ox, nor his ass, nor anything that is thy neighbors.

This is Morality::wrong desire

When taxes become so covetuous they oppress, they also become treason. For, then, the government covets both the goods and the freedom of the citizen. And, with this coveting brings in the "false" ideologies and actions listed above.

For, coveting is the undisciplined Greed and Fear that breaks not only the Commandments of God, but those that are man-made; and the most fearsome is that of a coveting government, for then the only protection of the victim is intercession by God; and, where God is a just, merciful God, it is only a matter of time.

The Law of God's Grace: ELEVENTH COMMANDMENT John 13:34

A new commandment I give unto you, that ye love one another; even as I have loved you, that ye also love one another.

This Commandment includes the first Ten, which are measured and weighed by the Eleventh.

And, it is this Commandment that raises the question as to whether a Christian can be compelled to uphold a pagan government? This government has broken every Commandment, including the 11th; but just what does this Commandment include besides the first 10:

Love suffereth long, and is kind;
love envieth not;
love vaunted not itself;
is not puffed up,
doth not behave itself unseemly,
seeketh not its own,
is not provoked,
taketh not account of evil,
rejoiceth not in unrighteousness,
but rejoiceth in the truth,
beareth all things,
believeth all things,
hopeth all things,
endureth all things,
love never faileth.

For, with the mastery of the Eleventh, it becomes IMPOSSIBLE to break the first Ten.

And that is the God's Law of Liberty and Justice, the Law of Conscience: THE COMMON LAW!

For those who keep the Law there is God's Blessing. He has so said. And for those who know the Word and rejected it..there is God's wrath of Judgement. And the Wrath of God is Fearsome. As in the tares, it may be delayed until harvest, but it is most certain.

Sodom and Gomorrah passed under Judgement.

So did Ninevah, but Ninevah was spared.

Will this nation likewise be spared?

Each department, bureau, division of the government is a minister for God's Law of Liberty and Justice (The Constitutions are man's imperfect attempt to put it into man's written form). Thus, when the judge dons his robes he ceases to be a politician, bound by his party and beholden to the self-serving "elite". He becomes a minister for God's Law of Liberty and Justice. He is not a minister of God (unless he is a full-faith Christian)...only the minister for the Laws of God: the Common Law as the Law of Conscience. Thus he will serve God---or by rejecting, serve Mammon.

So that when a petition for justice, for redress of grievances is denied, that judge serves mammon.

When the pagans, scribes, pharisees, saducees began to supplant the Christians in the government, a stress was placed upon God's Blessing. But God is patient; He is infinitely Just. And then, the pagans have, in the past few years, declared open war on God.

The countdown has begun to Armageddon, which will no doubt take place in 1988?

How do you stand with God?

Blessed is the man that washeth not in the counsel of the ungodly, nor standeth in the way of sinners, nor sitteth in the seat of the scornful. Psalm 1:1

He that turneth away his ear from hearing the law, even his prayer shall be abomination. Proverbs 28:9

Be not deceived, God is not mocked, for whatsoever a man soweth, that he also reap. Gal 6:7

Leviticus 6:2-5

Deuteronomy 11:26-28

In support of above:

WHEN FREE MEN SHALL STAND by U.S.Senator Jesse Helms (Zondervan)
ONE NATION UNDER GOD by Rus Walton (Revell)

The Common Law is binding upon the Federal Government, whether it wants to admit it or not. Nor can the Common Law be amended, rescinded nor voted away. For, What is the COMMON LAW?:::

THE WORD OF GOD

RT Rev Dr Edward Wayland pastor

RT. REV. EDWARD WAYLAND
P. O. BOX 283
HAVERHILL, MA 01820

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

WASHINGTON, D.C.

RECEIVED
SEP 3 1982
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland

against

No.

RECEIVED
SEP 13 1982
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Internal Revenue Service et al

APPELLANT'S MOTION TO PROCEED FORMA PAUPERIS

Comes now the Appellant/Plaintiff, Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland, Christian Minister, and herein moves this Court to allow him to proceed forma pauperis pursuant to the Common Law/Public-Wrong; Amendment 1 Right to Petition, and Amendments 9,10: unenumerated, reserved, inalienable Rights which establish the Right to be heard forma pauperis; and docket for hearing pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 53; and any other statutes, rules regulations that are relevant.

Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland pro se
forma pauperis

Sovereign Citizen;Preamble.A.9,10
Sovereign Immunity; " " " "
Former Scientist Manhattan Project
Affidavit//Theological Judgment

RT. REV. EDWARD WAYLAND
P. O. BOX 293
HAVERHILL, MA 01830

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

WASHINGTON, D.C.

RECEIVED

SEP 3 1982

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland

against

Internal Revenue Service et al

*
*
*
*
*
*

No.

RECEIVED

SEP 13 1982

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

COMMON LAW AFFIDAVIT OF APPELLANT

I, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland, herein affirm, depose and say:

That I am the Appellant in the above-entitled action, and affirm this Affidavit in support of my motion to proceed Forma Pauperis without being required to prepay costs or give security therefor. I state that because of my poverty I am unable to pay the costs of said proceedings or to give security therefor;

I swear that I am not employed, nor in any business; and that since March 18, 1968 I have received no lawful money as defined by the Constitution of the United States of America.

AFFIRMED AND SUBSCRIBED UNDER PAINS AND PENALTIES OF PERJURY ACCORDING TO THE COMMON LAW (Christian, not former kings self-serving courts), wherein the signature of minister is equal to that of 2-3, or more, witnesses, and need no further corroboration, and, as such, is also Theological Judgment, this 31st day of August, 1982.

Rt Rev Dr Edward Wayland / pastor
pro se

for a pauperis
Sovereign Citizen; Preamble.A.9,10
Sovereign Immunity; " " " "
Affidavit//Theological Judgment

RT. REV. EDWARD WAYLAND
P. O. BOX 283
HAVERHILL, MA 01830

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
WASHINGTON, D.C.

Rt. Rev. Dr. Edward Wayland

against

Internal Revenue Service et al

*
*
*
*
*
*

No. _____

RECEIVED

SEP 13 1982

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

MOTION TO FILE ONE COPY

Comes now the Appellant, Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity, Rt. Rev. Dr. Edward Wayland, Christian Minister, and herein moves the Court to allow him to file one copy of his JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT (Appeal Brief) pursuant to the Common Law; Amendment 1 Right to Petition for Redress, and Amendments 9, 10; unenumerated, reserved and inalienable Rights; and relative to any statutes, rules, regulations that authorize one copy.

Rt. Rev. Dr. Edward Wayland *pro se*
forma pauperis

Sovereign Citizen; Preamble A. 9, 10
Sovereign Immunity; " " "
Affidavit//Theological Judgment

RT. REV. EDWARD WAYLAND
P. O. BOX 283
HAVERHILL, MA 01830

RECEIVED

SEP 13 1982

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Aug 31, 1982
Sept. 1, 1982

Rt. Rev. Dr. Edward Wayland

against

Internal Revenue Service et al

U.S.D.Ct. 81-2155-0
U.S.C.A.1. 82-1306

TO

Justice William Brennan;
and all justices U.S.S.Ct., Washington, D.C. 20543

CHURCH/STATE CONFRONTATION
ILLEGAL LIEN, etc.

RECEIVED

SEP - 3 1982

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

As Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity (Preamble; A.9,10),
claim is made for docketing and hearing of instant case.

Failure to docēt, to hear, by its denial affirms: admits/avers
as TRUE the charges made in all the courts (see Brandeis: Olmstead
v U.S.; and Douglas: Branzberg v Hayes); and denies Justice and
Access to Justice; thereby violating the guarantees and mandates of
the U.S. Constitution.

In which event, I, Rt. Rev. Dr. Edward Wayland, Christian Minister,
pastor, have no alternative but to disclaim all real, alleged, implied,
fictitious jurisdiction/authority of unConstitutional Government; and,
under the "consent of governed" clause of the Declaration of Independence
herein REVOKE my consent to be governed by rogues and scoundrels
who have robbed, swindled, deceived, cuckolded, lynched under color-
of-law "legalisms" that are unconstitutional on their face and in
their application.

It Is WRITTEN that it is not necessary to convince. It is
enough to Witness to the WORD. Acceptance or rejection is allowed
under GOD's Free Will. Only satan requires unending, quicksand
"proofs"...which he, and those who do his bidding, ignore promptly.

Rt. Rev. Dr. Edward Wayland *pastor*
pro se
forma papperis
Sovereign Citizen; Preamble. A.9,10
Sovereign Immunity; " " " "
Former scientist Manhattan Project
Affidavit//Theological Judgment

RT. REV. EDWARD WAYLAND
P. O. BOX 283
HAVERHILL, MA 01830

United States District Court 11 39 84'80

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASS

RECEIVED

SEP 13 1982

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Rt. Rev. Edward Wayland Ph.D.

vs.

No. Civil No. 79-319-2

U.S. Tax Ct., et al

AFFIDAVIT OF Rt. Rev. Edward Wayland Ph.D.

U.S.D.Ct. of }
District of Mass. } ss:

I, the above-named affiant, being duly sworn according to law depose and say that I am the Plaintiff in the above-entitled proceeding; that I am a citizen of the United States; that I am unable to pay the costs of said proceeding or to give security therefor; that I believe I am entitled to redress; and that the nature of my ^{XXXXXXX} defense action is briefly stated as follows:

Civil Rights Violations
Common Law Copyright Violations
Unconstitutional Statute

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 18 day of April, 1980
Pusuant to Common Law (people's not king's)



ORDER OF COURT

Rt. Rev. Edward Wayland Ph.D. prose
forma pauperis
RT. REV. EDWARD WAYLAND
P. O. BOX 1008
LOWELL, MASS. 01853

It is Ordered that the in the above-entitled proceeding be
and he hereby is permitted to said proceeding to conclusion
without prepayment of fees or costs or security therefor.

Richard Tolul
District Judge.

Dated: April 29, 1980

ADMITTED, ADMITTED/AVERRED AS TRUE

PERA FACIE EVIDENCE

MEMORANDUM

ACCESS TO JUSTICE

16 AmJur2d Constitutional Law, Section 382 (718) states,
with multiple citations:

"S.382. GUARANTY OF FREE JUSTICE AND OPEN COURTS GENERALLY

"In most of the state constitutions there are provisions varying slightly in terms, which stipulate that justice shall be administered to all without delay or denial, without sale or prejudice, and that the courts shall always be open to all alike. The word 'injury' as employed in such a constitutional declaration implies the doing of some act which constitutes an invasion of a legal right, and cannot be considered as referring to all evils which may affect mankind. These provisions are based largely upon the Magna Carta, Chapter 40, which provides: 'We will sell to no man, we will not deny to any man, either justice or right.' The chief purpose of the Magna Carta provision was to prohibit the king from selling justice by imposing fees on litigants through his courts and to deal a death blow to the attendant venal and disgraceful practices of a corrupt judiciary in demanding oppressive gratuities for giving or withholding decisions in pending causes. It has been appropriately said that in a free government the doors of litigation are already wide open and must constantly remain so. The extent of the constitutional provision has been regarded as broader than the original confines of Magna Carta, and such constitutional provision has been held to prohibit the selling of justice not merely by magistrates but by the state itself.

"A constitutional provision that right and justice shall be administered according to such guarantees is mandatory upon the departments of government. Hence, it requires that a cause shall not be heard before a prejudiced court....These guarantees cannot be destroyed, denied, abridged, or impaired by legislative enactments."

ADMITTED, ADMITTED/AVERRED AS TRUE

PRIMA FACIE EVIDENCE

MEMORANDUM

JURY TRIAL

The Constitution of the United States imposes on the States the requirements of a trial by jury, in criminal by Article 3 Section 2; and Amendment 6; in civil by Amendment 7. It has also been determined that the words "Law of the Land" or "due process of law" when used in consideration of property rights....also means a determination thereof by a jury.

The determination by jury is common law going back to the Magna Carta, 1215 for the determinative purpose, in limiting the powers of government, by guaranteeing the jury remain in control.

Nor can the right to jury trial be hedged nor amended.....
Section 18 (47 AmJur2d)

"....the omission from the constitutional guarantees of a jury trial of an enumeration of the details or incidents of the right of trial by jury does not give the legislature or the courts authority to destroy any of the substantial elements of the right which was intended to be secured."

Section 20 (47 AmJur2d)

"The right of trial by jury, where it is granted by constitutional or statutory provisions, cannot be taken away or impaired by the courts...." "The view has been taken that a court sitting without a jury where there is a right to a jury trial which has not been waived, HAS NO JURISDICTION OF THE CASE."

Section 47 (47 AmJur2d) further spells out that in criminal cases the litigant is guaranteed the right to trial by jury; and in Section 48, further emphasis is made by Article 3 in that, together with Amendment 6, it is binding upon the States, and by the common law (including Section 50) the jury has the right to determine the law and the facts.

And that...Section 83(47 AmJur2d)

"...provides that such a waiver (of jury trial) must clearly and affirmatively upon the record, WAIVER CANNOT BE ASSUMED OR IMPLIED FROM THE SILENCE OF THE ACCUSED OR HIS MERE FAILURE TO DEMAND A JURY TRIAL."

The mandate of Amendment 7 is equally clear and concise:

"In suits at common law, where the value in controversy shall exceed twenty dollars, the right to trial by jury shall be preserved, and no fact tried by a jury shall be otherwise reexamined in any court of the United States, than according to the rules of the common law."

47 AmJur2d states bluntly that the litigant cannot be deprived of his right to trial by jury by legislation, or executive or judicial edict; for, to do so is to lose jurisdiction.